Effect of seed priming on physiological and agronomic traits of sunflower genotypes under different irrigations

Abbasi, Z. A.¹, Kandhro, M. N.¹, Chachar, Q.^{2*}, Shah, A. N.¹, Memon, H. R.¹, Chachar, S.³ and Mangrio, N.¹

¹Department of Agronomy, Sindh Agriculture University, Tandojam, Pakistan; ²Department of Crop Physiology, Sindh Agriculture University, Tandojam, Pakistan; ³Department of Biotechnology Sindh Agriculture University, Tandojam, Pakistan.

Abbasi, Z. A., Kandhro, M. N., Chachar, Q., Shah, A. N., Memon, H. R., Chachar, S. and Mangrio, N. (2021). Effect of seed priming on physiological and agronomic traits of sunflower genotypes under different irrigations. International Journal of Agricultural Technology 17(4):1219-1240.

Abstract Seed priming with nutrients improves germination of seed, establishment of seedling as well as growth of crop and oil content. Irrigation is one of the most significant aspects to facilitate necessary for accurate crop growth, constant improvement and superior yield and oil content of sunflower. Water deficit affects growth of plant and oil content. The field experiments were carried out at Students' Experimental Farm, Sindh Agriculture University, Tandojam for two years throughout autumn 2017 and 2018. Treatments for priming had significantly influenced on germination of seeds (m⁻²), rate of crop growth (g m⁻² day⁻¹), stem girth (cm), achene head-1, (1000-achenes wt., g), achene yields (t ha-1) and % of oil content. The influence of irrigation frequencies was found considerable in all traits. Interaction was initiated also significant in all parameters, except seed germination (m⁻²). Priming of seed with 0.2% ZnSO₄ showed higher (6.3 m⁻²) germination, crop growth rate (1.8 g m⁻² day⁻¹), stem girth (7.1cm), achene head⁻¹ (833), (1000-achenes wt., (66.5 g), achene yields (2.01 t ha⁻¹) and oil content (38.1%) than the control. Irrigation frequency five also influenced on all parameter which produced maximum output more than other irrigations. Thus, it is concluded that use of 0.2% ZnSO₄ priming along with five irrigations gave maximum physiological and agronomic traits. Sunflower variety HO-1 may be preferred for better oil performance under agro-climate of Tandojam, Sindh, Pakistan.

Keywords: Sunflower, Germination, Seedling growth, Seed priming

Introduction

Sunflower is an essential worldwide crop for oilseed and a member of compositae family, suitable for agro-climatic conditions and cropping system of Pakistan (Hassan and Kaleem, 2014). Sunflowers have become one of the most essential world's annual crops grown for edible oil purpose (Wills and Burke,

^{*} Corresponding Author: Chachar, Q.; Email: qdchachar@yahoo.com

2006). North America is the origin of sunflower crop. Its inflorescence looks sun-like structure due to this artist inspired from sunflower crop (Badouin et al., 2017). Its seed contain 35-55% oil. In Russia first half of 19th century sunflower was enlarged as a most important oil crop (Mangin et al., 2017). It is fourth largest source of vegetable oil after rapeseed and palm all over the world. It is 2nd after cotton seed in Pakistan (Siddiqui and Oad, 2015). Sunflower has revealed that there is great prospective of growing under different agroecological zones in all kinds of soil, climatic situation like rain fed and irrigated. Area under cultivation on country base throughout 2018-19 was 1,600,000 hectares and their output was 620,000 million tons (FAO, 2018). The edible oil has been reported as most important commodities of daily use (Amjad, 2014). The edible oil import bill for 2018-19 was Rs 192.203 billion (GOP, 2019). Pakistan is largest country in the world about importing edible oil and it ranks 3rd (Soomro *et al.*, 2015). The existing position of sunflower is one of the necessary crops for oil in Pakistan due to its high production (Sheidaie et al., 2013). Sunflower contains low cholesterol; due to this reason stands all over the world as good source of edible oil. Sunflower seeds are also rich in protein23% as well as high oil contents about 40-50% (Grompone, 2005). The dried stems of crop make an excellent fuel for domestic purpose. Fiber of the stem used to papermaking, yellow dye is obtained from its flower and its seeds also utilized for black dye (Tengong et al., 2010).

Water is one of the most significant aspects necessary for proper development, balanced maturity and superior yield for most of the crops (Hussain et al., 2004). It is fact that fresh water becoming costlier as well as scarcer in crop production increase water use efficiency due to the drought conditions (Khatri et al.,2001). The availability of water is strongly regulated productivity of sunflower (Kazemeini et al., 2009). It is need of the hour to increase efficiency of irrigation and implement management techniques and available technologies (Khan, 2005). Water management strategies and their practices need to be considered to produce more crops with less water requirement. The analysis has confirmed that neglecting irrigation frequencies during the periods of vegetative outcome might be yield reduce considerably and appropriate irrigation management can lead to enhanced efficiency of water use. (Bakht et al., 2010) disclosed that maximum seed yield of sunflower was obtained from five irrigations as compared through other irrigation frequencies. Among the hybrids, SF-187 produced more yields as compared to hybrid Parsun-1. Head diameter of sunflower was improved with increased number of irrigations (Flagella et al., 2002). Therefore, knowledge about the frequencies of irrigation is essential for the capable utilization of water.

Objectives of the study were evaluated the effect of seed priming on growth and yield of sunflower, assessed the performance of sunflower in response to varying irrigation levels and determined the genotypic variation of sunflower.

Materials and methods

The field study was undertaken at student's experimental Farm of Sindh Agriculture University, Tandojam, during autumn 2017 and repeated for precision of results 2018. The experimental design was Split plot design arrangements with replicated three times. The actual size of each plot was 5 m x 6 m (30 m²). The treatments arranged in experiment as seed priming sources four: no seed priming(control), priming of seeds with canal water, priming of seed through 1.0% urea and priming of seeds by 0.2% zinc sulphate, irrigation frequencies; two, three, four and five irrigations and sunflower genotypes two; HO-1, and Hysun-33.

Land preparation

Irrigation was applied to the field as a pre-soaking. The soil once sun dried for the level of proper moisture, prepared the seedbed by three times cultivating the soil through a tractor-mounted cultivator. The sowing was done by means of single coulter hand drill. After 15 days of sowing to maintain proper plant distance, thinning was done manually. The NPK fertilizer applications were done with dosage of 100-50-50 kg ha⁻¹. (SSP) phosphorus and (K2SO4) potash fertilizer was practiced at the time of land preparation whereas, (urea) nitrogen in three equivalent split dosages was applied i.e. first dose applied at first irrigation, second at flowering time and third split at grain filling stage. Inter culturing was done to make soil porous for strong plant roots. Weeds of crop were controlled by hoeing manually twice time. The whipping of drums manually were protected flowers from attack of parrots. Data regarding the mentioned soil properties have been summarized in Table I while annual precipitation and temperature data are given in Table II. Data on agronomic observations like seed germination (m²) after 10 days sowing by counting the emerged seedlings, crop growth rate (g m⁻² d⁻¹) was recorded from tagged five plants at peak vegetative growth by using formula: (W2 - W1)÷ (T2 -T1), stem girth (cm) was considered from three part of plant top, middle and bottom of the stem at harvesting time through measuring tape and average was calculated, achenes head-1 five discs were selected randomly, the seed were taken out from disc manually, counted and their average was calculated, (1000-achene wt., g) 1000 achene weight data was measured from each plot by

thousand achenes counting and weight with electronic balance, achene yield (t ha⁻¹) calculating data was converted into (t ha⁻¹) with following formula, yields plot⁻¹ (tones) \times (10000 m²) \div plot area (m²) and oil content (%) by adopting proper method was extracted through Soxhlete apparatus by using 10 g seed from each treatment. The equipment was available at Oilseeds Research Institute, Agriculture Research Centre, Tandojam. According to the formula oil content % was calculated: Oils weight (g) \div Weight of seed sample (g) \times 100. The data was brought to investigation of variance test using the Statistix version software 8.1 (2006). The consequently least significance test LSD was applied 0.05 at alpha for comparing differences of treatments.

Physico-chemical properties of soil

The experimental soil pre-sowing and post-harvesting of sunflower was brought to analysis for different properties (Table 1). The study of the soil was suggested that soil texture be sandy clay loam. The various samples for physical and chemical properties were analyzed with following procedures (Rayan *et al.*, 2001). Soil texture was measured by Bouyoucos hydrometer method. Soil pH and Electrical conductivity (EC) was measured in 1:2 soil water extract using pH and EC meters, correspondingly. Organic matter content was followed by Walkley Black method. Total N was calculated. However, soil was extracted for determining extractable P and K using (AB-DTPA) Ammonium bicarbonate di-ethylene tri amine penta acetic acid. The pH, EC (dSm⁻¹), organic matter%, available phosphorous (P₂O₅) (mg kg⁻¹), extractable potassium (K₂O) (mg kg⁻¹) and total nitrogen (%) were concluded by standard procedures and methods. The values of each chemical analysis of soil are presented in Table 1.

Table 1. Physico-chemical properties of experimental soil for the year 2017 and 2018

| Parameters | Before sowing | After harvesting |
|--------------------------------------|---------------|------------------|
| Soil texture analysis | C | |
| Sand% | 20.6 | - |
| Silt% | 43.2 | - |
| Clay% | 37.7 | - |
| Textural class | Silty cl | lay loam |
| Soil chemical analysis | | |
| Soil Ph | 8.70 | 8.40 |
| Organic matter (%) | 0.50 | 0.42 |
| EC (dSm ⁻¹) | 2.38 | 1.52 |
| Available P (mg kg ⁻¹) | 1.35 | 0.91 |
| Extractable K (mg kg ⁻¹) | 219 | 172 |
| Total N % | 0.03 | 0.03 |

Meteorological data

The meteorological data during experimental seasons of both years (2017 and 2018) were obtained from Meteorological Station, Tandojam. The information of meteorological data on basis of August, September, October and November monthsregarding for average temperature (\mathbb{C}), Rainfall (mm) and humidity (%) are presented in Table 2.

Table 2. Meteorological data of Tandojam for autumn 2017 and 2018

| Month | Week | | | 2017 | J · · · · | | | 2018 | |
|-----------|------|-------|---------------|--------------------|----------------|-------|---------------|----------------------|----------------|
| | | _ | erature C) | (%) of Relative | Rainfall in | - | erature C) | Relative Humidity | Rainfall in |
| | | Min. | Max. | Humidity | (m.m) | Min. | Max. | (%) | (m.m) |
| August | I | 25.14 | 35.85 | 67.57 | 0.0 | 24.21 | 36.21 | 61.14 | 0.0 |
| | Ii | 24.81 | 35.93 | 65.0 | 0.0 | 24.43 | 35.12 | 68.37 | 0.0 |
| | Iii | 24.62 | 37.56 | 63.0 | 0.38 | 24.06 | 36.31 | 66.62 | 0.09 |
| | Iv | 24.68 | 36.18 | 68.5 | 2.32 | 23.0 | 36.31 | 63.5 | 0.0 |
| September | I | 24.21 | 34.78 | 68.57 | 0.0 | 22.42 | 36.57 | 62.14 | 0.0 |
| _ | Ii | 23.14 | 35.64 | 70.42 | 0.0 | 22.78 | 35.21 | 63.71 | 0.0 |
| | Iii | 23.18 | 38.31 | 63.62 | 0.0 | 21.68 | 37.0 | 59.75 | 0.0 |
| | Iv | 21.93 | 36.56 | 61.75 | 0.0 | 22.81 | 38.43 | 57.5 | 0.0 |
| October | I | 21.14 | 37.57 | 57.85 | 0.0 | 20.25 | 39.92 | 59.42 | 0.0 |
| | Ii | 19.35 | 39.56 | 52.0 | 0.0 | 17.75 | 36.43 | 49.5 | 0.0 |
| | Iii | 19.31 | 39.0 | 48.87 | 0.0 | 17.5 | 35.93 | 45.75 | 0.0 |
| | Iv | 18.25 | 37.25 | 55.0 | 0.0 | 16.75 | 37.81 | 48.87 | 0.0 |
| November | I | 16.35 | 35.28 | 50.85 | 0.0 | 14.42 | 33.14 | 46.0 | 0.0 |
| | Ii | 13.5 | 33.21 | 55.42 | 0.0 | 15.07 | 32.64 | 59.71 | 0.0 |
| | Iii | 10.37 | 26.93 | 50.25 | 0.0 | 15.5 | 31.18 | 59.0 | 0.0 |
| | Iv | 10.43 | 30.18 | 47.62 | 0.0 | 14.75 | 29.87 | 60.75 | 0.0 |

Results

Seed germination (m⁻²)

Germination of seed is the prerequisite for obtaining optimum number of seedlings which ultimately produces greater seed yield. The analysis of variance showed that germination of seed (m⁻²) of sunflower was considerably ($P \le 0.05$) influenced on priming of seeds whereas, non-substantially ($P \ge 0.05$) on irrigations, varieties, irrigations × varieties, irrigations × seeds priming, varieties × seeds priming and irrigations × varieties × seeds priming. The results indicated that priming of seed by 0.2% ZnSO₄ gave markedly ($P \le 0.05$) maximum (6.3 m⁻²) germination of seed followed by seeds priming with 1.0% urea (6.0 m⁻²) whereas, minimum in no priming (control) seed germination 5.3 m⁻² (Table 3).

Table 3. Seed germination (m⁻²) of sunflower genotypes under the influence of seed priming and irrigation frequencies

| Sub-plot (Varieties) | Sub-sub plot (Sources of | Main-plot (Frequencies of irrigation) | | | | |
|------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------|------------------|---------------------|--------------|
| | seed priming) | Two irrigations | Three irrigations | Four irrigations | Five irrigations | . |
| | No priming | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 |
| | Seed priming: canal water | 5.7 | 5.7 | 5.7 | 5.7 | 5.7 |
| НО-1 | Seed priming: 1.0% Urea | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |
| H | Seed priming: 0.2% ZnSO ₄ | 6.3 | 6.3 | 6.3 | 6.3 | 6.3 |
| | Mean | 5.8 | 5.8 | 5.8 | 5.8 | 5.8 |
| | No priming | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 |
| | Seed priming: canal water | 5.7 | 5.7 | 5.7 | 5.7 | 5.7 |
| Hysun-33 | Seed priming: 1.0% Urea | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 |
| Hys | Seed priming: 0.2% ZnSO ₄ | 6.3 | 6.3 | 6.3 | 6.3 | 6.3 |
| | Mean | 5.8 | 5.8 | 5.8 | 5.8 | 5.8 |
| Averages | No priming | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 d |
| | Seed priming: canal water | 5.7 | 5.7 | 5.7 | 5.7 | 5.7 c |
| | Seed priming: 1.0% Urea | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 6.0 b |
| | Seed priming: 0.2% ZnSO ₄ | 6.3 | 6.3 | 6.3 | 6.3 | 6.3 a |
| | Mean | 5.8 | 5.8 | 5.8 | 5.8 | - |
| Variables | | | P-value | | $LSD_{0.05}$ | |
| Irrigation (I) | | | 0.4547 | | - | |
| Varieties (V) Priming (P) | | | 0.1113 0.0000 | | 0.2807 | |
| I x V | | | 0.9852 | | - | |
| IxP | | | 1.0000 | | _ | |
| VxP | | | 0.9892 | | _ | |
| IxVxP | | | 1.0000 | | - | |

Table 4. Crop growth rate (g m⁻² day⁻¹) of sunflower genotypes under the

influence of seed priming and irrigation frequencies

| Sub-plot | Sub-sub plot | | -plot (Freque | ncies of irriga | ntion) | Me |
|----------------|--------------------------------------|---------------|---------------|-----------------|---------------------|-----------|
| (Varieties) | (Sources of seed | Two | Three | Four | Five | an |
| | priming) | irrigations | irrigations | irrigations | irrigations | |
| | No priming | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 1.5 | 1.2 |
| | Seed priming: canal water | 1.0 | 1.6 | 2.1 | 2.2 | 1.7 |
| но-1 | Seed priming: 1.0% Urea | 1.0 | 1.9 | 2.2 | 2.5 | 1.9 |
| = | Seed priming: 0.2% ZnSO ₄ | 1.4 | 2.0 | 2.5 | 2.6 | 2.1 |
| | Mean | 1.1 | 1.7 | 2.0 | 2.2 | 1.7 a |
| | No priming | 0.8 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 1.4 | 0.9 |
| æ | Seed priming: canal water | 0.9 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 1.7 | 1.3 |
| Hysun-33 | Seed priming: 1.0% Urea | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 1.4 |
| Hy | Seed priming: 0.2% ZnSO ₄ | 1.2 | 1.0 | 1.6 | 1.9 | 1.4 |
| | Mean | 1.0 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 1.7 | 1.3 b |
| Averages | No priming | 0.9 h | 0.9 h | 1.0 h | 1.4 e-g | 1.2 c |
| | Seed priming: canal water | 1.0 gh | 1.5 d-f | 1.7 b-e | 1.9 a-d | 1.5 b |
| | Seed priming: 1.0% Urea | 1.0 f-h | 1.5 c-f | 2.0 a-c | 2.1 a | 1.7 ab |
| | Seed priming: 0.2% ZnSO ₄ | 1.3 e-h | 1.5 c-e | 2.0 ab | 2.2 a | 1.8 a |
| | Mean | 1.1 c | 1.3 b | 1.7 a | 1.9 a | - |
| Variables | | P-va | alue | | LSD _{0.05} | |
| Irrigation (I) | | 0.0 | 499 | | 0.2485 | |
| Varieties (V) | | 0.0 | 029 | | 0.1757 | |
| Priming (P) | | 0.00 | | | 0.2485 | |
| I x V | | 0.30 | | | - | |
| I x P | | 0.0397 0.4971 | | | | |
| V x P | | 0.1: | | | - | |
| IxVxP | | 0.52 | 225 | | - | |

Crop growth rate (g m⁻² day⁻¹)

The statistical analysis illustrated that crop growth rate of sunflower was appreciably ($P \le 0.05$) impacted by irrigation, varieties, seeds priming and irrigation \times priming whereas, not-markedly ($P \ge 0.05$) by irrigations \times varieties,

varieties ×priming and irrigations× varieties × priming. The data (Table 4) indicated that priming of seeds through 0.2% ZnSO₄ conferred maximum crops growth rate (1.8 gm⁻² day⁻¹) followed by priming of seed with 1.0% urea (1.7 gm⁻² day⁻¹) while minimum crop growth rate (1.2 g m⁻² day⁻¹) was documented in No priming. In case of irrigation, highest (1.9 gm⁻² day⁻¹) crop growth rate was recorded under the five irrigations followed by four irrigations 1.7g m⁻² day⁻¹. In addition, data indicated that least crop growth rate (1.1 g m⁻² day⁻¹) was noted under two irrigations. In varieties, HO-1 was found superior in crop growth rate (1.7g m⁻² day⁻¹) as compared toward Hysun-33 which produced crop growth rate1.3g m⁻² day⁻¹. In interaction of irrigation × priming the results showed that highest 2.2 g m⁻² day⁻¹crop growth rate was recorded on five irrigations × priming of seeds with 0.2% ZnSO₄ followed by2.1 g m⁻² day⁻¹ crop growth rate on same irrigation × priming of seed with 1.0% Urea. Whereas, minimum 0.9 g m⁻² day⁻¹ was noted on two irrigations × with interaction of No priming.

Stem girth (cm)

The statistically analysis of data illustrated that girth of stem for sunflower plants affected considerably $(P \le 0.05)$ on irrigations, varieties, priming of seed, irrigations × varieties, varieties × seeds priming while, nonsubstantially ($P \ge 0.05$) on irrigations × seeds priming and irrigations × varieties × seeds priming. The outcomes (Table 5) proved that priming of seed by 0.2% ZnSO₄ produced substantially ($P \le 0.05$) highest (7.1 cm) stem girth followed by seed primed through 1.0% urea (6.8 cm), whereas, lowest (6.1 cm) in no priming. In case of irrigation, highest (7.3cm) stem girth was recorded on five irrigations followed by four irrigations which resulted (7.1 cm) stem girth while lowest (5.4 cm) stem girth was recorded on second irrigation. In case of varieties, the HO-1 variety was superior with 6.8 cm stem girth in comparison with 6.5 cm of Hysun-33. In interaction of varieties × irrigation, the data illustrated that interaction of variety HO-1 × irrigation frequencies five produced maximum (7.6 cm) stem girth followed by the interaction of HO-1 × irrigation frequencies four with 7.4 cm stem girth whereas, lowest stem girth (5.5 cm) was noted in the interaction of Hysun-33 × irrigation frequencies two. In case of interaction of varieties × priming results proved that HO-1 variety produced maximum stem girth (7.3cm) on interaction of priming of seeds with 0.2% ZnSO₄ fallowed by (7.1cm) with priming of seed with 1.0% urea while minimum stem girth (6.1cm) was obtained on Hysun-33 interaction of no priming (control).

Table 5. Stem girth (cm) of sunflower genotypes under the influences of seed priming and irrigation frequencies

| priming and | l irrigation fre | equencies | | | | |
|----------------|------------------------|-------------|---------------|-----------------|--------------|-----------|
| Sub-plot | Sub-sub | Main | -plot (Freque | ncies of irriga | ation) | Mean |
| (Varieties) | plot | Two | Three | Four | Five | |
| | (Sources of | irrigations | irrigations | irrigations | irrigations | |
| | seed | | | | | |
| | priming) | | | | | |
| | No priming | 5.0 | 6.0 | 6.3 | 6.8 | 6.0 e |
| | Seed | 5.2 | 6.3 | 7.6 | 7.5 | 6.6 cd |
| | priming: | | | | | |
| | canal water | | | | | |
| | Seed | 5.5 | 7.1 | 7.9 | 8.0 | 7.1 ab |
| НО-1 | priming: | | | | | |
| # | 1.0% Urea | | | | | |
| | Seed | 5.6 | 7.5 | 8.0 | 8.1 | 7.3 a |
| | priming: | | | | | |
| | 0.2% ZnSO ₄ | | | | | |
| | Mean | 5.3 d | 6.7 bc | 7.4 a | 7.6 a | 6.8 a |
| | No priming | 5.3 d | 6.2 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 6.1 e |
| | Seed | 5.4 | 6.5 | 6.7 | 6.7 | 6.3 de |
| | priming: | | | | | |
| \mathfrak{S} | canal water | 7.6 | 6.0 | 6.7 | 7.1 | <i></i> . |
| Hysun-33 | Seed | 5.6 | 6.8 | 6.7 | 7.1 | 6.5 cd |
| ns. | priming: | | | | | |
| Ή | 1.0% Urea | 5.8 | 6.9 | 7.1 | 77 | (0 h a |
| | Seed priming: | 3.8 | 0.9 | 7.1 | 7.7 | 6.9 bc |
| | 0.2% ZnSO ₄ | | | | | |
| | Mean | 5.5 d | 6.6 c | 6.7 bc | 7.0 b | 6.5 b |
| Averages | No priming | 5.1 | 6.1 | 6.4 | 6.7 | 6.1 c |
| Averages | Seed | 5.3 | 6.4 | 7.1 | 7.1 | 6.5 b |
| | priming: | 3.3 | 0.4 | 7.1 | 7.1 | 0.5 0 |
| | canal water | | | | | |
| | Seed | 5.6 | 7.0 | 7.3 | 7.5 | 6.8 a |
| | priming: | | 7.0 | , | , | 0.0 |
| | 1.0% Urea | | | | | |
| | Seed | 5.7 | 7.2 | 7.5 | 7.9 | 7.1 a |
| | priming: | | | | | |
| | 0.2% ZnSO ₄ | | | | | |
| | Mean | 5.4 c | 6.7 b | 7.1 a | 7.3 a | - |
| Variables | | | P-value | | $LSD_{0.05}$ | |
| Irrigation (I) | | | 0.0001 | | 0.2462 | |
| Varieties (V) | | | 0.0149 | | 0.1741 | |
| Priming (P) | | | 0.0000 | | 0.2462 | |
| I x V | | | 0.0450 | | 0.3482 | |
| I x P | | | 0.5103 | | | |
| VxP | | | 0.0332 | | 0.3482 | |
| IxVxP | | | 0.4091 | | - | |

Achenes head⁻¹

The achene head⁻¹ represents for yield of crop. Higher achene head⁻¹ helps for obtaining targeted yield. The study of data illustrated to achenes head ¹ of sunflower had notably ($P \le 0.05$) influenced on irrigations, varieties, priming of seeds and irrigations \times priming while, non-substantially ($P \ge 0.05$) on irrigations × varieties, varieties × priming along with irrigations × varieties × seeds priming. The results (Table 6) demonstrated that, priming of seed through 0.2% ZnSO₄ effected ($P \le 0.05$) considerably greatest (833) achenes head⁻¹ followed by (809) achenes head⁻¹ seed priming with 1.0% Urea while least (684) achenes head⁻¹ were observed in No priming. In irrigation frequencies highest (914) achenes head⁻¹ were documented on five irrigations followed by (908) achenes head⁻¹ were recorded on four irrigations though, lowest (583) achenes head⁻¹ were noted on two irrigations. Among varieties, HO-1 proved better by producing 797 achenes head⁻¹ in contrast to Hysun-33 which gave 754 seeds head⁻¹. As far as irrigation × seeds priming is concerned, highest result achenes head (1008) was noticed on irrigation five \times priming of seeds with 0.2% ZnSO₄ followed by (1002) result was noted on the interface irrigation four × priming of seeds with 0.2% ZnSO₄ Whereas, lowest (563) achenesheads was documented in the interaction of two irrigations \times no priming.

Thousand-achenes weight (g)

Thousand achene weight is counter as indicator to attain most favorable weight of seeds. The statistical analysis of results illustrated sunflower1000-achene weight was appreciably $(P \le 0.05)$ impacted on irrigations, varieties, priming of seeds and irrigations × priming whereas, notmarkedly $(P \ge 0.05)$ on irrigations × varieties, varieties × priming and irrigations × varieties × priming. The data (Table 7) showed that seeds primed with 0.2% ZnSO₄ conferred maximum (66.5 g) 1000-achene weight followed by (64.3 g) achene weight was registered on priming of seeds with 1.0% Urea while, minimum (51.8 g) achene weight was documented on No priming. In irrigation frequencies highest achene weight (70.6 g) was recorded on irrigations five followed by (69.1 g) achene weight was recorded on the four irrigations While, the least (48.6 g) achene weight was noted on two irrigations. Variety, HO-1 was found highest in achene weight (62.9 g) as compared to Hysun-33 which produced 59.2 g achene weight. In interaction of irrigation × priming the results showed that maximum result was documented on five irrigation × priming of seeds by 0.2% ZnSO₄ followed by 76.3 g achene weight was recorded on four irrigations × priming of seed with 0.2% ZnSO₄. both were not significant with each other Whereas, minimum 45.5 g achene

weight was noted on two irrigations \times with interaction of No priming affected on 1000- achene weight of sunflower.

Table 6. Achenes head of sunflower genotypes under the influences of seed

priming and irrigation frequencies

| Sub-plot | Sub-sub plot | | n-plot (Freque | ncies of irriga | tion) | Mea |
|-------------|--------------------------------------|-------------|----------------|-----------------|-------------|-----------|
| (Varieties) | (Sources of | Two | Three | Four | Five | n |
| | seed priming) | irrigations | irrigations | irrigations | irrigations | |
| | No priming | 575 | 704 | 764 | 777 | 705 |
| | Seed priming: canal water | 583 | 711 | 938 | 941 | 793 |
| НО-1 | Seed priming: 1.0% Urea | 592 | 731 | 1015 | 1023 | 840 |
| | Seed priming: 0.2% ZnSO ₄ | 608 | 741 | 1023 | 1028 | 850 |
| | Mean | 589 | 722 | 935 | 942 | 797 a |
| | No priming | 551 | 658 | 718 | 725 | 663 |
| 83 | Seed priming: canal water | 568 | 666 | 899 | 902 | 759 |
| Hysun-33 | Seed priming: 1.0% Urea | 581 | 677 | 924 | 929 | 778 |
| H | Seed priming: 0.2% ZnSO ₄ | 609 | 686 | 980 | 988 | 816 |
| | Mean | 577 | 672 | 880 | 886 | 754 b |
| Averages | No priming | 563 e | 681 cd | 741 c | 751 c | 684 c |
| | Seed priming: canal water | 575 e | 688 c | 918 b | 922 b | 776 b |
| | Seed priming: 1.0% Urea | 587 e | 704 c | 970 ab | 976 ab | 809 ab |
| | Seed priming: 0.2% ZnSO ₄ | 608de | 714 c | 1002 a | 1008 a | 833 a |
| | Mean | 583 с | 697 b | 908 a | 914 a | - |

| Variables | P-value | LSD _{0.05} |
|----------------|---------|---------------------|
| Irrigation (I) | 0.0000 | 39.157 |
| Varieties (V) | 0.0024 | 27.688 |
| Priming (P) | 0.0000 | 39.157 |
| IxV | 0.3945 | - |
| I x P | 0.0006 | 78.313 |
| VxP | 0.8807 | - |
| I x V x P | 0.9999 | - |

Table 7. 1000-achene weight (g) of sunflower genotypes under the influence of seed priming and irrigation frequencies

| Sub-plot (Varieties) | Sub-sub plot | Main | Main-plot (Frequencies of irrigation) | | | | | |
|-------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------|---------------------------------------|------------|------------|--------|--|--|
| | (Sources of seed priming) | Two | Three | Four | Five | a | | |
| | | irrigation | irrigations | irrigation | irrigation | | | |
| | NT ' ' | S | 50.2 | <u> </u> | <u>s</u> | | | |
| | No priming | 46.0 | 50.3 | 55.0 | 60.0 | 5: | | |
| | Seed priming: canal water | 49.0 | 56.3 | 74.3 | 76.0 | 6 | | |
| НО-1 | Seed priming: 1.0% Urea | 51.0 | 60.7 | 75.0 | 77.7 | 6 | | |
| | Seed priming: 0.2% ZnSO ₄ | 53.0 | 63.3 | 78.7 | 80.0 | 6 | | |
| | Mean | 49.8 | 57.7 | 70.8 | 73.4 | 6 9 | | |
| | No priming | 45.0 | 49.3 | 51.7 | 56.7 | 5 | | |
| 83 | Seed priming: canal water | 46.7 | 56.7 | 68.3 | 70.0 | 6 | | |
| Hysun-33 | Seed priming: 1.0% Urea | 48.7 | 58.3 | 70.0 | 71.0 | 6 | | |
| Ħ | Seed priming: 0.2% ZnSO ₄ | 49.3 | 60.0 | 71.7 | 73.3 | 6 | | |
| | Mean | 47.4 | 56.1 | 65.4 | 67.8 | 5 2 | | |
| Averages | No priming | 45.5 h | 49.8 fg | 53.3 ef | 58.3 cd | 5 8 | | |
| | Seed priming: canal water | 47.8 gh | 56.5 de | 72.3 b | 73.0 ab | 6 b | | |
| | Seed priming: 1.0% Urea | 49.8 f | 59.5 cd | 73.5 ab | 74.3 ab | 6 | | |
| | Seed priming: 0.2% ZnSO ₄ | 51.2 fg | 61.7 c | 76.3 ab | 76.7 a | 6 5 | | |
| | Mean | 48.6 c | 56.9 b | 69.1 a | 70.6 a | | | |

| Variables | P-value | $\mathrm{LSD}_{0.05}$ |
|----------------|---------|-----------------------|
| Irrigation (I) | 0.0000 | 1.9763 |
| Varieties (V) | 0.0035 | 1.3974 |
| Priming (P) | 0.0000 | 1.9763 |
| IxV | 0.3438 | - |
| IxP | 0.0000 | 3.9525 |
| V x P | 0.4043 | - |
| IxVxP | 0.9987 | - |

Achene yield (t ha⁻¹)

The analysis of variance showed that achene yield of sunflower had considerable ($P \le 0.05$) effect on irrigations, varieties, seeds priming, irrigations × seeds priming, varieties × seeds priming, irrigations × varieties and irrigations × varieties × seeds priming. The Table 8 reveled that seed priming results showed that greatest (2.01 t ha⁻¹) achene yield was documented on priming of seed with 0.2% ZnSO₄ followed by (1.97 t ha⁻¹) observed on seed priming 1.0% urea Whereas, lowest (1.76 t ha⁻¹) achene yield was noticed on no priming. As regards irrigation frequencies, five irrigations gave maximum achene yield (2.35 t ha⁻¹), followed by (2.33) t ha⁻¹documented on four irrigations both are non-significant with each other. However, least achene yield (1.47 t ha⁻¹) was registered on two irrigations. In case of varieties, highest achene yield (1.95 t ha⁻¹) was noted in (HO-1) variety followed by Hysun-33with 1.89 t ha⁻¹ achene yield. With regards to irrigation ×seeds priming, highest achene yield(2.46 t ha 1) was documented in interaction of irrigation frequencies five $\times 0.2\%$ ZnSO₄ followed by (2.46t ha⁻¹) on interactive effects of irrigations four ×0.2% ZnSO₄both results are non-significant with each other whereas, lowest (1.45 t ha⁻¹) was observed in the interactive outcomes of two irrigations × No priming. In case of varieties \times seed priming the maximum (2.03 t ha⁻¹) achene yield was recorded on HO-1 variety with interaction of 0.2% ZnSO₄ fallowed by (1.99 t ha⁻¹) achene yield on same variety with interaction of seed priming with 1.0% urea. The lowest (1.70 t ha⁻¹) achene yield was observed on variety Hysun-33 xinteraction of seed priming with No priming. In case of irrigation x varieties greatest achene yield (2.29 t ha⁻¹)was reported in interaction of irrigations five with (HO-1) variety followed by (2,44 t ha⁻¹) noted on same variety with four irrigations while, lowest amount achene yield (1.44 t ha⁻¹) was registered on two irrigations with (Hysun-33). As for as irrigations × varieties × priming of seeds greatest achene yield (2.48 t ha⁻¹) was recorded on four irrigations with interaction of priming of seed with 0.2% ZnSO₄ along with (HO-1) variety followed by (2.47 t ha⁻¹) on priming of seeds with 1.0% urea on same variety whereas, least achene yield (1.40 t ha⁻¹) was obtained from interactive effect of two irrigations on (Hysun-33) along with no priming.

Table 8. Achene yield (t ha⁻¹) of sunflower genotypes under the influence of seed priming and irrigation frequencies

| Sub-plot (Varieties) | Sub-sub plot (Sources of | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|------------------|---------------------|--------|--|
| (var zeues) | seed priming) | Two irrigations | Three irrigations | Four irrigations | Five irrigations | | |
| | No priming | 1.50jkl | 1.53ij | 2.40 b-e | 1.80 f | 1.81 e | |
| | Seed priming: canal water | 1.46 j-n | 1.53ij | 2.43a-d | 2.44 a-d | 1.97bc | |
| НО-1 | Seed priming: 1.0% Urea | 1.50jkl | 1.53ij | 2.45 | 2.47 ab | 1.99 b | |
| # | Seed priming: 0.2% ZnSO ₄ | 1.53ijk | 1.66 g | 2.48 a | 2.46abc | 2.03 a | |
| | Mean | 1.50 e | 1.56 d | 2.44 a | 2.29 b | 1.95 a | |
| | No priming | 1.40 n | 1.42mn | 1. 46 k-n | 2.36 e | 1.70 f | |
| _ | Seed priming: canal water | 1.43lmn | 1.47 j-m | 2.38 de | 2.40cde | 1.92 d | |
| Hysun-33 | Seed priming: 1.0% Urea | 1.46 k-n | 1.48 j-m | 2.41 a-e | 2.43 a-d | 1.95 | |
| Hys | Seed priming: 0.2% ZnSO ₄ | 1.50jk | 1.57 hi | 2.45 a-d | 2.46abc | 1.99 b | |
| | Mean | 1.44 f | 1.48 e | 2.22 c | 2.41 a | 1.89 b | |
| Averages | No priming | 1,45 h | 1.47 gh | 2.02 e | 2.08 d | 1.76 с | |
| | Seed priming: canal water | 1.45 h | 1.50 g | 2.41 c | 2.42 d | 1.94 b | |
| | Seed priming: 1.0% Urea | 1.48gh | 1.51 g | 2.43 abc | 2.45 abc | 1.97 b | |
| | Seed priming: 0.2% ZnSO ₄ | 1.51g | 1.62 f | 2.46 a | 2.46 ab | 2.01 a | |
| | Mean | 1.47 c | 1.52b | 2.33 a | 2.35 a | - | |
| Variables | | | P-value | | LSD _{0.05} | | |
| Irrigation | (I) | | 0.0000 | | 0.0119 | | |
| Varieties (| | | 0.0000 | | 0.0590 | | |
| Priming (P | * | | 0.0000 | | 0.0119 | | |
| IxV | • | | 0.0000 | | 0.0168 | | |
| I x P | | | 0.0000 | | 0.0238 | | |
| V x P | | | 0.0212 | | 0.0168 | | |
| IxVxP | | | 0.0000 | | 0.0336 | | |

Oil content (%)

Oil content is an essential remarkable tool to indicate quality of oil crop. The value of sunflower crop depends upon its oil content percentage. The analysis of variance illustrated that oil content% of sunflower was appreciably $(P \le 0.05)$ influenced on irrigations, varieties, priming of seeds, irrigations \times varieties, irrigations × seeds priming, varieties × seed priming and irrigation xvarieties x seeds priming. While (Table 9) showed that in priming highest (38.1 %) oil content in priming of seed through 0.2% ZnSO₄ followed by (37.5%)oil content was registered on seed priming with 1.0% Urea. Nevertheless, No priming noted (33.2%) smallest amount of oil content. Among irrigation frequencies greatest (40.1%)oil content was recorded on irrigations five followed by (39.8%) oil content on four irrigations while, lowest (31.4 %) on two irrigations. Variety, HO-1 produced higher (36.7%) oil content whereas, Hysun-33 which content (35.8%) low oil content. In case of irrigation × varieties maximum (40.9%) oil content recorded on five irrigations with variety HO-1 followed by (40.2%) oil content was registered on the four irrigation and HO-1 variety Whereas, Hysun-33was observed least (31.3%) oil content on two irrigations. As regards irrigation x seed priming highest (43.1%) oil content was recorded on five irrigation with interaction priming of seeds with 0.2% ZnSO₄ followed by (42.3%) oil content registered on interaction of four irrigation with seed priming 0.2% ZnSO₄whereas, minimum (30.4%) oil content was observed on two irrigation with interaction of No priming. Among the interaction of variety × priming, the data illustrated that greatest (38.3%) oil content was observed in the interaction priming of seed with 0.2% ZnSO₄× (HO-1) variety followed by (37.7%) oil content with interaction of seed priming 1.0% urea of same cultivar. Nonetheless, lowest oil content (33.2%) was noticed in the interactive effect of No priming × cultivar Hysun-33. In case of interaction of irrigation × variety × seed priming the results indicated that the maximum (43.1%) oil content on five irrigations with interaction of priming of seeds through 0.2% ZnSO₄ on variety (HO-1) followed by (42.5%) was registered on five irrigations with interaction of priming of seed with 0.2% ZnSO₄ on (HO-1) variety however, lowest (30.4%) oil content was observed on two irrigation with interaction of No priming with Hysun-33 genotype.

Table 9. Oil content (%) of sunflower genotypes under the influence of seed priming and irrigation frequencies

| Sub-plot (Varieties) | Sub-sub plot (Sources of | Mair | n-plot (Freque | Frequencies of irrigation) | | Mean |
|-------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|------------|
| (10220000) | seed priming) | Two irrigations | Three irrigations | Four irrigations | Five irrigations | |
| _ | No priming | 30.9 mn | 33.2 jk | 36.0 d | 36.4 d | 34.1 d |
| | Seed priming: canal water | 31.1 mn | 33.5 h-k | 40.6 b | 41.4 b | 36.7 b |
| НО-1 | Seed priming: 1.0% Urea | 31.6 lm | 35.0 c | 41.7 b | 42.5 ab | 37.7 ab |
| # | Seed priming: 0.2% ZnSO ₄ | 32.4 kl | 35.3 c | 42.3 b | 43.1 a | 38.3 a |
| | Mean | 31.5 с | 34.3 b | 40.2 a | 40.9 a | 36.7 a |
| | No priming | 30.4 n | 32.5 kl | 34.7 gi | 35.2 fg | 33.2 e |
| | Seed priming: canal water | 30.9 mn | 33.4 i-k | 38.2 c | 38.4 c | 35.2 c |
| Hysun-33 | Seed priming: 1.0% Urea | 31.8 lm | 34.1 h-j | 41.0 b | 41.4 b | 37.1 ab |
| Hys | Seed priming: 0.2% ZnSO ₄ | 32.0 lm | 34.6 gh | 40.7 b | 42.5 ab | 37.5 a |
| | Mean | 31.3 с | 33.7 b | 38.7 a | 39.4 a | 35.8 b |
| Averages | No priming | 30.6 m | 32.9 ij | 35.3 gh | 35.8 fg | 33.2 d |
| | Seed priming: canal water | 31.0 lm | 33.5 i | 39.7 cd | 39.9 cd | 36.0 с |
| | Seed priming: 1.0% Urea | 31.7 kl | 34.6 h | 41.7 bc | 42.0 b | 37.5 b |
| | Seed priming: 0.2% ZnSO ₄ | 32.2 jk | 35.0 f | 42.3 ab | 42.8 a | 38.1 a |
| | Mean | 31.4 с | 34.0 b | 39.8 a | 40.1 a | - |
| Variables | | | P-value | | LSD _{0.05} | |
| Irrigation (| (I) | | 0.0000 | | 0.3900 | |
| Varieties (| | | 0.0488 | | 0.2758 | |
| Priming (P | * | | 0.0000 | | 0.3900 | |
| IxV | | | 0.0000 | | 0.5515 | |
| I x P | | | 0.0000 | | 0.7800 | |
| V x P | | | 0.0142 | | 0.5515 | |
| IxVxP | | | 0.0000 | | 1.1031 | |

Discussion

Seed priming is a technique in which seeds are soaked in nutrient solution instead of pure water. It is an approach to increase seed nutrient content along with the priming effect to improve seed quality for better germination and seedling establishment (Harris et al., 2007). Nutri-seed priming is a technique in which seeds are soaked in nutrient solution instead of pure water. The nutri-primed seeds reserve mobilization, activation, resynthesis of some enzymes start their activities (Hussain et al., 2006). Priming techniques are in advance and importance in agriculture with the increase in environmental stresses. This technique has become a common seed treatment that can increase percentage and uniformity of germination or seedling emergence, mainly under unfavorable environmental conditions (Farhoudi et al., 2007). Priming could increase germination traits, accelerate germination, improve seedling establishment and enhance plant growth (Pirasteh-Anosheh et al., 2011). Seed priming with urea increase germination percentage, vigour index and root length (Arif et al., 2008). Urea priming increased radical and shoot length of sunflower. The increased effect of urea seed priming on seedling growth was more due to seed nutrition. The sunflower vegetative growth, seed yield and oil content enhanced when seed priming with urea (Kouchebagh et al., 2014). This practice has realistic importance and could be recommended to farmers to achieve higher germination, uniform emergence, improved growth, flowering and yield characteristics of sunflower. The present study shows that sunflower traits evaluated were significantly (P<0.05) affected by varying seed priming. The sunflower crop given 0.2% Zinc sulphate resulted in maximum values for all the examined traits (6.3 m⁻²) seed germination, 1.7 g m⁻² day⁻¹ crop growth rate, 7.1cm stem girth, 833 achenes head⁻¹, 66.5 1000achene weight, 2.01t achene yield and 38.1% oil content followed an adverse trend for all the growth and yield traits and achene yields were declined considerably as compared to seed priming with 1.0% Urea. The enhancement in studied traits under zinc priming may be because Zn is an activator for several enzymes including carbonic anhydrase, alcohol dehyrogenase and RNA polymerase. It is involved in the synthesis of Auxin or indole acetic acid (IAA) (Rashid and Memon, 2001). These results are in agreement with those of Moeinzadeh et al. (2010) who reported that seed priming caused improvement in seed germination, seed vigour and growth of sunflower plants. Another study of (Toklu, 2015) supported that ZnSO₄ increased rate of germination and their percentage. Furthermore, the results of (Sharma and Parmar, 2017) reported that the green peas yield was highest due to priming with 1% ZnSO₄. The findings are corroborated with the results of Pirasteh-Anosheh et al. (2011) who

suggested that seed priming caused improvement in gm⁻² day⁻¹seed priming exhibited boost in seeds number head⁻¹ of sunflower plants.

Water use efficiency is one of the most important ways to increase crop production. It is need of the hour to adopt management techniques and technologies available for increasing irrigation efficiency. Irrigation frequency refers to the number of days between irrigation during periods. Irrigation frequency is one of the most important factors in pressurized irrigation scheduling. When the same quantity of water is applied under different irrigation frequencies crop yields may be different (Abdelraouf and Abuarab, 2012). Productivity of sunflower is strongly regulated by the availability of water (Kazemeini et al., 2009). In order to produce more crops with less water, water management strategies and practices need to be considered with different irrigation (Khan, 2005). Irrigation frequency increased sunflower growth and yield. Due to the variations in soil moisture and wetting pattern, yields of crop may be different when the diverse quantity of irrigation water is applied. High irrigation frequency might be supply desirable conditions for water movement in soil and for uptake by roots (Segal et al., 2000). The results of the study positively indicated that five and four irrigations frequencies both are non significant with each other. The results of study suggested that four irrigations produced significantly optimal growth and seed yield as compared to five, three and two irrigations. The findings of this study are in agreement with Alahdadi et al. (2011) who recommended an irrigation interval of 10 to 15 days is most favorable for reasonable sunflower production. Ghani et al. (2000) reported positive impact of different levels of irrigation on seeds number head-1 of sunflower. Our results are also corroborated by (Khaliq and Cheema, 2015) who revealed influence of irrigation application caused significant difference in seeds heads⁻¹. Kalroo *et al.* (2013) conferred that using of irrigation frequencies significantly affected on 1000- achene weight of sunflower. (Darwesh et al. (2016) revealed that irrigation scheduling was significantly affected on 100achene weight. Achene yield of sunflower were increased with number of irrigation (Flagella et al., 2002). The results are further supported by (Fazel and Lack, 2011) who reported that irrigation frequencies caused substantial influence on achene yield. The results with similar trend have also been revealed by (Khan, 2005) were affected significantly by different irrigation frequencies caused significant difference on oil percentage. The results are also in accordance with Yasin et al. (2013) who reported that irrigation frequencies significantly affected sunflower oil content. The results were significantly different among the genotypes. However, the highest yield was observed in HO-1. Response of Sunflower genotypes to different Irrigation frequencies authenticated in all parameters more prominently for seed yield in HO-1, as

reflected by the lower Hysun-33. Results showed that there was a high, positive and significant yield difference among genotypes. This consolidates the results of Karam *et al.* (2007) who reported that the increase in seed weight, increase most vegetative organs of sunflower with increasing irrigation supply. It was concluded that Sunflower genotype Gimsun-94 has higher production potential (Flagella *et al.*, 2002). The results of sunflower genotype SF-187 which produced maximum crop growth rate and more yield kg ha⁻¹ than the genotype Parsun (Bakht *et al.*, 2010). The results eventually indicated maximum seed yield was obtained from sunflower genotypes Gimsun-94 than Gimsun-256 (Ghani *et al.*, 2000).

It is concluded that the priming of seed, frequencies of irrigation and their interactions caused almost substantial ($P \le 0.05$) effects on all the traits of growth particularly seed yield and oil content of sunflower genotypes. However, increased seed and oil yield was obtained with 0.2% ZnSO₄seed priming at four irrigations from genotype HO-1.

Acknowledgments

This is original research paper and is a part of two years PhD thesis research work conducted at Sindh Agriculture University, Tandojam throughout autumn 2017 and 2018 for partial fulfillment of award of PhD degree.

References

- Abdelraouf, R. E. and Abuarab, M. E. (2012). Effect of irrigation frequency under hand move lateral and solid set sprinkler irrigation on water use efficiency and yield of wheat. Journal of Applied Science and Research, 8:5445-5458.
- Alahdadi, I., Oraki, H. and Khajani, F. P. (2011). Effect of water stress on yield and yield components of sunflower hybrids. African Journal of Biotechnology, 10:6504-6509.
- Amjad, M. (2014). Oilseeds crop of Pakistan. Pakistan Agricultural Research Council Islamabad, pp.1-40.
- Arif. M., Jan, M. T., Marwat, B. K. and Khan, A. M. (2008). Seed priming improves emergence and yield of soybean. Pakistan Journal of Botany, 40:1169-1177.
- Badouin, H., Gouzy, J. and Langlade, N. B. (2017). The sunflower genome provides insights into oil metabolism, flowering and asteroid evaluation. International Journal of Science, 546:148-152.
- Bakht, J., Shafi, M., Yousaf, M., Din, R. and Khan, M. A. (2010). Effect of irrigation on physiology and yield of sunflower hybrids. Pakistan Journal of Botany, 2:1317-1326.
- Darwesh. R. K. H., EL-Mansoury, A. M. M. and El-Shamy, M. A. (2016). Effect of irrigation scheduling on sunflower/forage cowpea intercropping pattern, growth, yield and its components. Journal of Soil Science and Agriculture Engineering, 2:135-146.

- FAO (2018). World Agriculure: Twords 2017-18. Yield of sunflower. Retrieved form 2http://agris. fao.org/aos/records.
- Farhoudi, R., Sharifzadeh, F., Poustini, K., Makkizadeh, M. T. and Kochakpour, M. (2007). The effects of NaCl priming on salt tolerance in canola (*Brassica napus*) seedlings grown under saline conditions. Seed Science Technology, 35:754-759.
- Fazel, M. A. and Lack, S. H. (2011). The effects of irrigation off at different growth stages, planting patterns and plant population on grain yield and dry matter remobilization of grain corn. World Applied Science Journal, 4:463-473.
- Flagella, Z., Rotunno, T., Tarantino, R., Caterina, R. D. and Caro, A. D. (2002). Changes in seed yield and oil fatty acid composition of high oleic sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.) hybrids in relation to the sowing date and the water regimes. Europen Journal of Agronomy, 17:221-230.
- Ghani, A., Hussain, M. and Qureshi, M. S. (2000). Effect of different irrigation regimens on the growth and yield of sunflower. International Journal of Agriculture and Biology, 4:334-335.
- GoP (2019). Economic Survey of Pakistan. 2018-19. Government of Pakistan Ministry of food, Agriculture and livestock division, Economic affairs wing, Islamabad, pp.19-20.
- Grompone, M. A. (2005). Sunflower oil. Bailey's Industrial Oil and Fat Products, Sixth Edition. F. Shahidi (ed.). John Wiley & Sons, Inc. New York, USA, pp.655-730.
- Harris, D., Rashid, A., Miraj, G., Arif, M. and Shah, H. (2007). On-farm seed priming with zinc sulphate solution, a cost-effective way to increase the maize yields of resource poor farmers. Field Crop Research, 110:119-27.
- Hassan, F. U. and Kaleem, S. (2014). Influence of seasonal disparity on NPK uptake in sunflower. Journal of Animal and Plant Science, 1:190-196.
- Hussain, M., Farooq, M., Basra, S. M. A. and Ahmed, N. (2006). Influence of seed priming techniques on the seedling establishment, yield and quality of Hybrid sunflower. International Journal of Agriculture and Biology, 8:14-18.
- Hussain, A., Ghaudhry, M. R., Wajad, A., Ahmed, A., Rafiq, M., Ibrahim, M. and Goheer, A. R. (2004). Influence of water stress on growth, yield and radiation use efficiency of various wheat cultivars. International Journal of Agriculture and Biology, 6:1074-1079.
- Kalroo, M. W., Samoon, H. A., Meghwar, B. L., Laghari, A. M., Depar, M. S., Chandio, A. S. and Pathan, A. K. (2013). Response of sunflower to varying levels of mineral fertilizers and irrigation scheduling. Life Science international Journal, pp.1-13.
- Kazemeini, S. A., Edalat, M. and Shekoofa, A. (2009). Interaction effects of deficit irrigation and row spacing on sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.) growth, seed yield and oil yield. African Journal of Agriculture Research, 11:1165-1170.
- Karam, F., Lahoud, R., Masaad, R., Kabalan, R., Breidi, J., Chalita, C. and Rouphael, Y. (2007). Evapotranspiration, seed yield and water use efficiency of drip irrigated sunflower under full and deficit irrigation conditions. Agricultural Water Management, 90: (3): 213–223.
- Khaliq, A. and Cheema, Z. A. (2015). Effect of irrigation regimes on some agronomic traits and yield of different sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.) hybrids. International journal of Agriculture and Biology, 6:920-924.

- Khan, S. R. A. (2005). Increasing irrigation efficiency. Farming Outlook, 1:23-26.
- Khatri, R. S., Goel, A. C. and Malik, R. K. (2001). Water use and application efficiencies in bed and flat sowing of wheat in rice-wheat system under different irrigation levels. Crop Research Hisar, 1:20-23.
- Kouchebagh, S. B., Farahvah, F., Mirshekari, B., Kazemi, H. A. and Khoei, F. R. (2014). Seed priming techniques may improve grain and oil yields of sunflower (*Helintus annuus* L.). The Journal of Animal and Plant Science, 6:1863-1868.
- Mangin, B., Bonnafous, F., Blanchet, N., Mestries, E. B., Cottret, L., Munos, S. and Langlade, N.B. (2017). Genomic prediction of sunflower oil content. Food and Plant Science, 8:1633-1638.
- Moeinzadeh, A., Zadehl, F. S., Zadeh, M. A. and Tajabadi, F. H. (2010). Biopriming of sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.) seed with *Pseudomonas fluorescens* for improvement of seed invigoration and seedling growth. Australian Journal of Crop Science, 7:564-570.
- Pirasteh-Anosheh, H., Sadeghi, H. and Emam, Y. (2011). Chemical priming with urea and KNO3 enhances maize hybrids (*Zea mays* L.) seed viability under a biotic stress. Journal of Crop Science and Biotechnology, 14:289-295.
- Rashid, A. and Memon, K. S. (2001). Soil Science. National Book Foundation Islambabad, pp.372-379.
- Rayan, J., Estefan, G. and Rashid, A. (2001). Soil and plant analysis laboratory manual. Int. Center Agric. Res. the Dry Areas (ICARDA), Aleppo, Syria. pp.172.
- Segal, E., Gal, A. B. and Shani, U. (2000). Water availability and yield response to high-frequency micro irrigation in sunflowers. Int: Proceedings of the sixth international micro-irrigation congress on 'Micro irrigation technology for developing agriculture' Conference Paper, October, South Africa, pp.22-27.
- Sharma, M. and Parmar, D. K. (2017). Effect of seed priming with zinc sulfate on yield and quality parameters of rainfed maize-pea sequence under mid hill conditions of Himachal Pradesh. Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry, 1:1401-1407.
- Sheidaie, S., Divsalar, M., Oskoue, B., Sadeghi, H. and Rezvani, E. (2013). Seed hydropriming application to alleviation of water stress during germination in sunflower hybrids. International Journal of Agriculture and Crop Sciences, 2:155-159.
- Siddiqui, M. H. and Oad, F. C. (2015). Sunflower growth and yield under varying irrigation frequencies and sowing methods. Indian Journal of Biological Science, 3:436-440.
- Soomro, A. G., Memon, A. H., Gadehi, M. A., Memon, R. M., Junejo, S. D., Talpur, S. and Memon, M. A. (2015). Growth and yield of sunflower in response to planting geometry and nitrogen foliar application at various crop stages. American-Eurasian Journal of Agriculture& Environment Science, 1:140-146.
- Statistix (2006). Statistix 8.1 user guide, version 1.0.Analytical Software, PO Box 12185, Tallahassee FL 32317 USA.Copyright 2006 by Analytical Software.
- Tengong, S., Sinkeng, P., Linglee, M. H. and Tsehung, Y. (2010). Equilibrium studies for the removal of dye by sunflower seed husk. International Journal of Physical Science, 8:1270-1276.

- Toklu, F. (2015). Effects of different priming treatments on seed germination properties, yield components and grain yield of lentil (*Lens culinaris* Medik.) Notulac Botanic Horti Agrobotanic, 1:153-158.
- Wills, D. M. and Burke, J. M. (2006). Chloroplast DNA variation confirms a single origin of domestication sunflower (*Helianthus annuus* L.). Journal of Heredity, 4:403-408.
- Yasin, M., Mahmood, A., Ali, A., Aziz, M., Javaid, M. M., Iqbal, Z. and Tanveer, A. (2013). Impact of varying plantings patterns and fertilizer application strategies on autumn planted sunflower hybrid. Cercetari Agronomice in Moldova, 154:39-51.

(Received: 4 January 2021, accepted: 24 April 2021)