Environmental responsibility of rice var. Kum Bangpra and Riceberry in lowland and upland conditions

Promsomboon, P. 1* and Promsomboon, S. 2

¹Department of Plant Production Technology, Faculty of Agriculture and Natural resources, Rajamangala University of Technology Tawan-Ok, Bangpra Campus, Bangpra, Sriracha, Chonburi province 20110, Thailand; ²Department of Biotechnology, Faculty of Science and Tecnology, Rajamangala University of Technology Tawan-Ok, Bangpra Campus, Bangpra, Sriracha, Chonburi province 20110, Thailand.

Promsomboon, P. and Promsomboon, S. (2019). Environmental responsibility of rice var. Kum Bangpra and Riceberry in lowland and upland conditions. International Journal of Agricultural Technology 15(5): 747-752.

Abstract Rice (Oryza sativa L.) var. Kum Bangpra is improved purple pericarp variety from pure line selection for five years during in-season and off-season to yield the desirable phenotypic characters. The chemical and physical properties were compared with Riceberry in lowland and upland conditions. Result revealed that the two rice varieties under lowland conditions was significantly differed in plant growth paramet ers eg. plant height, tillers , harvesting time, panicle's number per hill, and yield. Kum Bangpra variety gave the highest yield of 705.80 kg. per Rai. Riceberry resulted to yield 462.20 kg per Rai, which the growth parameters and yields were similar resulted in upland and lowland conditions. Kum Bangpra variety and Riceberry yielded 654.80 and 412.60 kg. per Rai, respectively. It is noticed that Kum Bangpra variety gave greater yield than Riceberry in both conditions. In lowland condition found that Kum Bangpra variety expressed straw-colored husk, round grain shape, dark purple husked grain, gelatinization temperature at 67 °C, soft and sticky cooked rice. Whereas Riceberry revealed dark purple husk, long and slender grain, dark purple husked grain, gelatinization temperature at 70 °C, and incoherent soft cooked rice. Kum Bangpra variety contained greater amount of GABA, protein, fiber, omega 3, gamma oryzanol, and antioxidants than Riceberry. It is suggested that Kum Bangpra variety found low starch and amylose content of 7.15 % which is considered to appropriate the dietary and sugar intake.

Keywords: environment, responsibility, rice, lowland, upland

Introduction

The two lines of wild rice or barnyard millet or chicken panic grass (*Oryza rufipogon* and *Oryza officinali*) is known as that rice that expressed pericarp in red, purple, and black colors. The red rice line is grown in combination with white rice in paddy field. The red rice is smaller grains than other rice. It can grow well in the field but the seeds is easily falled and survive overseason. It is also shown that wild rice (*Oryza rufipogon*) contains only 26 % amylose content in rice starch, requires high cooking

^{*}Corresponding Author: Promsomboon, P; Email: praprut_5@hotmail.com

temperature to achieve gelatinization, produces low gel consistency (hardness) and no aroma. The second rice line is dark purple to black color seed, high protein and minerals eg. Iron, Zinc, Copper, Calcium, Potassium, and vitamin B. The black purple color grains showed anthocyanin which it is an antioxidant. Anthocyanin in black rice promotes black and soft hairs, prevent split-end hairs, blood circulation, prevent hairs fall (Wanchai, 1988). Champagne et al. (2004) reported that brown rice is the layer containing the bran, rich nutrition eg. dietary fiber, phytic acid, vitamin B, gamma oryzanol and gamma amino butyric acid (GABA) which is non amino protein-based acid and play an important role of inhibitory neurotransmitters in sympathetic nervous system (Bao et al., 1995). GABA can be utilized as a nutritional influenced the blood pressure (Nakagawa and Onota, 1996; Diana et al., 2014). The objective was to aimed to evaluate the agronomic characters, chemical and physical properties of rice var. Kum Bangpra and compared with Riceberry in lowland and upland conditions.

Materials and Methods

The experiment was performed in Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) in five replications. Treatments were designed for planting Kum Bangpra and Riceberry in 10 plots of 10 m² by transplanting 30-days of rice seedlings with 30 x 30 cm. The same spacing was designed in the plots both in lowland upland conditions. The experiment was conducted in August to December 2017 at the paddy fields, Plant Science Research Field, Department of Plant Production Technology, Faculty of Agriculture and Natural Resources, Rajamangala University of Technology Tawan-Ok at Bangpra Campus, Thailand. Rice var. Kum Bangpra was gathered from previous research findings from pureline selection method. Riceberry was used to compare. The experiment was repeated two times. Data were recorded according to the method of Yoshida (1981). Plant growth parameters were collected as plant height, number of plants per hill, harvest age, number of panicles per hill and yield per Rai. Data were subjected to analysis of variance. Treatment means were compared using Duncan" s Multiple Rang Test (DMRT) at 95 %.

Results

The research finding showed that in lowland the plant height (143.08 cm) in Kum Bangpra variety is significantly higher than riceberry (137.00). The number of plant per hill in Kum Bangpra (14.00) was higher than rice berry (13.08). The harvesting day of Kum Bangpre was 110.60 days which faster than rice berry 120.60 days). The number of panicles per hill in kum bangpra was 13.50 which higher than rice berry (12.80). It inerestedec that

yield perv rai in kum bangpra was 705.80 kg/rai which higher than rice berry (462.20 kg.rai) as seen in Table 1. Result in upland showed plant height, number of plant per hill, number of panicle per hill in kum bangpra variety higher than rice berry as seen in Table 2. The harvesting days in kun bangpra (110.60 days) was faster than riceberry variety (130.40 days). It was noticed that yield of kum banfpra variety was 705.80 kg/rai which higher than riceberry variety(462.20 kg/rai). The physical traits of Kum Bangpra and Riceberry rice varieties was compared husk color, grain shape, husked grain color, gel temperature and cooked rice texture and cooked rice texture as seen in Table 3.

Table 1. Plant height, number of plants per hill, harvest age, number of panicles per hill and yield per Rai of 2 rice varieties in lowland condition

| Rice variety | Agronomic Traits | | | | | | |
|-----------------|---------------------|--|-----------------------|---|---------------------|--|--|
| | Plant Height (cm.) | Number of Plants per Hill (Plants/Hill) | Harvest Age (Days) | Number of Panicles per Hill (Panicles/Hill | Yield (kg/Rai) | | |
| Kum | 143.08 ^a | 14.00 ^a | 110.60 ^b | 13.50 ^a | 705.80 ^a | | |
| Bangpra | | | | | 462.20 ^c | | |
| Riceberry | 137.00 ^b | 13.08 ^b | 130.60 ^a | 12.80^{b} | 402.20 | | |
| Mean | 140.04 | 13.54 | 120.60 | 13.15 | 584.00 | | |
| F-test | ** | ** | ** | ** | ** | | |
| C.V. (%) | 0.67 | 1.33 | 0.88 | 2.10 | 3.70 | | |

^{**} Highly statistically significant difference at 99% confidence level. In the same column, different letters signify statistical difference when comparisons were made with DMRT. Note:- 1 rai is $1,600 \text{ m}^2$.

Table 2. Plant height, number of plants per hill, harvest age, number of panicles per hill and yield per rai of 2 rice varieties in upland condition

| Rice variety | Agronomic Traits | | | | | |
|----------------|---------------------|--|-----------------------|---|---------------------|--|
| | Plant Height (cm.) | Number of Plants per Hill (Plants/Hill) | Harvest Age (Days) | Number of Panicles per Hill (Panicles/Hill | Yield (kg/rai) | |
| Kum Bangpra | 124.60 ^a | 14.60 ^a | 110.60 ^b | 14.00 ^a | 654.80 ^a | |
| Ci | | | | | 412.60° | |
| Riceberry | 102.20^{b} | 13.60^{b} | 130.40^{a} | 13.20^{a} | | |
| F-test | ** | ** | ** | ns | ** | |
| C.V. (%) | 1.60 | 4.05 | 0.95 | 4.10 | 3.78 | |

ns = Not significant difference, ** = Highly significant difference at 99% confidence level. In the same column, different letters signify statistical difference when comparisons were made with DMRT method. Note:- 1 rai is 1,600 m².

The chemical component was analyzed both in Kum Bangpra and Riceberry varieties. It found that Kum Bangpra variety contained higher amount of GABA (20.54 mg/kg), protein (9.17g/100g), fiber (8.02g/100g),

omega 3 (30.62mg/100g), gamma oryzanol (241.23mg/kg.)and antioxidant (106.23mg.Ascorbic acid/100g) than Riceberry variety. But in Riceberry variety contained more Vitamin B2 (0.042 mg/100g.), Vitamin E (0.55 mg/100g.), Iron (13.80 mg /kg.), Zinc (31.90 mg/kg) and anthocyanin (21.50 mg/100 mg) than Kum Bangpra variety as seen in Table 4.

Table 3. Physical traits of Kum Bangpra and Riceberry rice varieties

| Physical Trait | Varieties | | |
|---------------------|------------------|----------------|--|
| | Kum Bangpra | Riceberry | |
| Husk Color | Straw Color | Dark Purple | |
| Grain Shape | Relatively Round | Long and | |
| _ | • | Slender | |
| Husked grain Color | Dark Purple | Dark Purple | |
| Gel Temperature (℃) | 67 | 70 | |
| Cooked Rice Texture | Sticky and Soft | Incoherent and | |
| | • | Soft | |

Table 4. Chemical components of Kum Bangpra and Riceberry rice varieties

| Chemical | Variety | | Reference Test |
|--------------------------|-------------|-----------|---------------------------|
| components | Kum Bangpra | Riceberry | |
| GABA (mg./kg.) | 20.54 | 18.95 | TAS 4003-1012 |
| Protein (g./100 g.) | 9.17 | 8.30 | AOAC 2012 |
| Starch (%) | 67.87 | 79.20 | EC-Method 1999/79 |
| Fiber (g./100 g.) | 8.02 | 4.95 | AOAC 2012 |
| Vitamin B | 0.029 | 0.042 | Food Chemistry (1984) |
| Vitamin E (mg./100 g.) | 0.20 | 0.55 | LC Analysis of Food(1979) |
| Iron (mg./kg.) | 11.43 | 13.80 | AOAC 2012 |
| Zinc (mg./kg.) | 21.28 | 31.90 | AOAC 2012 |
| Amylose (%) | 7.15 | 15.6 | AOAC 2012 |
| Omega3 (mg./100 g.) | 30.62 | 25.51 | AOAC 2012 |
| Anthocyanin (mg./100 g.) | 17.42 | 21.50 | AOAC 2012 |
| Gamma Oryzanol | 241.22 | 46.20 | AOAC 1995 |
| (mg./kg.) | | | |
| Antioxidant (mg. | 106.23 | 47.50 | DPPH-Method |
| Ascorbic acid/100 g.) | | | |

Discussion

The research findings found the lowland cultivation gave better agronomic traits than upland cultivation. Both tested varieties of Kum Bangpra and Riceberry gave higher yields in lowland than upland cultivation. De Datta and Zarate (1970) explained that in lowland commonly longer internode than upland cultivation. Lowland plantation is common for rice growing system which give better culm growth, yields, number of plants per hill, and number of panicles per hills (De Datta and Zarate, 1970).

The physical traits of rice varieties is controlled by genotype characters. Kum Bangpra variety is purple color that seem to be an

antioxidant which also encountered in Riceberry variety. Withthis, Tester and Morrison (1990) stated that rice gelatinization is affected by temperature for cooked rice. The gelling property of rice starch depended on rice varieties. amylopectin and amylose are affected to chemical properties and physical trait factors and the processing method (Ahmed *et al.*, 2016). Ngamchuen (2003) started that low amylose in rice is often used as raw material for fermented Japan's sake, rice vinegar or Shoyu. It provides high viscosity and long time for gelatinization which related to low gel temperature.

The research finding found chemical properties of Kum Bangpra variety encountered GABA, protein, fiber, omega 3, gamma oryzanol and antioxidants including Vitamin B, Vitamin E, Iron, Zinc, and anthocyanin. Dyall and Michael-Titus (2008) reported that essential fatty acids are important for structural and functional brain and nervous systems. Shahida and Ahmad (2017) said it helps to reduce cholesterol. Zinc aids co-operated to protein synthesis (Christos *et al.*, 2012), healing acne, preventing hair loss and stimulating hair roots (Rushton, 2002). As results, both varieties found iron in appropriate levels. Abbaspour *et al.* (2014) reported that iron helps in energy production and transportation of hemoglobin in red blood cells. Vitamin E was found in both rice varieties in this study. It helps anti-aging and prevents oxidative stress (Leslie and James, 1999; Rizvi *et al.*, 2014).

Vitamin B1found in kum bangpra and riceberry varieties. It is suggested that these rice varieties would help the rice consumers to maintain the functions of brain, nervous system, and digestive system (Abdoulaye, 2008; Makarchikov, 2009). The research finding found gamma oryzanol in kum bangpra variety much higher than rice berry variety. It is possible that the consumers of kum bangpra rice variety would get benefit to reduce cholesterol and triglyceride in blood vessels, promotes normal circulation of blood, reduce risk of heart disease, diabetes, hypertension, and dementia (Diana *et al.*, 2014).

Moreover, the result on chemical traits of Kum Bangpra rice variety contained low starch and low amylose content of 7.15 %. Frei (2003) stated that amylose content of 2-12 % in rice grains is grouped as very low amylose content (2-12%), and is considered to suitable for diabetes patients.

Acknowledgement

This research project was financially supported by Rajamangala University of Technology Tawan-ok, Thailand.

References

Abbaspour ,N., Hurrell, R. and Kelishad, R. (2014). Review on iron and it's important for human health. Journal of research in medical sciences, 19:164-74.

Abdoulaye, B. (2008). Metabolic and structural role of thiamine in nervous tissues. Cell mol neurobiol, 28:923-931.

- Ahmed, I., Qazi, I. M., Li, Z. and Ullah, J. (2016). Rice noodles: materials, processing and quality evaluation. B. Life and Environmental Sciences, 53:215-238.
- A.O.A.C. (1995). Official Method of Analysis. 16th ed. The Association of Official Analytical Chemists, Arlington, Virginia.
- A.O.A.C. (2012). Official Method of Analysis. 20th ed. The Association of Official Analytical Chemists, Arlington, Virginia.
- Bao, J., Cheung, W. Y. and Wu, J. Y. (1995). Brain L-glutamate decarboxylase. Inhibition by phosphorylation and activation by dephosphorylation. Journal of Biological Chemistry, 270:6464-6467.
- Champagne, E. T., Wood, D. F., Juliano, B. O. and Bechtel, D. B. (2004). The rice grain and its gross composition. *In*. Rice Chemistry and Technology. American Association of Cereal Chemists Press, Minnepolis, pp.77-107.
- Christos, T. C., Ariadni, C. L., Chara, A. S. and Maria, E. S. (2012). Zinc and human health. Arch toxicol, 86:521-534.
- De Datta, S. K. and Zarate, P. M. (1970). Environmental conditions affecting growth characteristics, nitrogen response and grain yield of indica rice in the tropics. Agronomy Journal, 60: 643-647.
- Diana, M., Quilez, J. and Rafecas, M. (2014). Gamma-aminobutyric acid as a bioactive compound in foods: a review. Journal of Functional Foods, 10:407-420.
- Dyall, S. C. and Michael-Titus, A. T. (2017). Neurological benefits of omega-3 fatty acids. Neuromol Med, 10:219-235.
- Frei, M. (2003). Studies on in vitro starch digestibility and the glycemic index of six different indigenous rice cultivars from the Philippines. Food chemistry, 83:395-402.
- Leslie, S. B. and James, S. (1999). The effects of tropical vitamin E on the cosmetic appearance of scars. Dermatol Surg, 25:311-315.
- Makarchikov, A. F. (2009). Vitamin B1: Metabolism and functions. Biomedical chemistry, 3:116-128.
- Nakagawa, K. and Onota, A. (1996). Accumulation of gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA) in the rice germ. Food Processing, 31:43-46.
- Ngamchuen, K. (2003). Rice and Rice Quality. Department of Agriculture. Bangkok. pp.359.
- Onanong, N. (2007). Rice: Science and Technology. 2nd Edit. Kasetsart University Press, Bangkok.
- Rizvi, S., Raza, S. T., Ahmed, F., Ahmed, A., Abbas, S. and Mahdi, F. (2014). The role of vitamin E in human health and some diseases. Sultan Qaboos University Medical Journal, 14:157-165.
- Rushton, D. H. (2002). Nutritional factors and hair loss. Clinical and experimental dermatology, 27:396-404.
- Shahida, A. K. and Ahmed, M. (2017). Dietary changes with omega-3 fatty acids improves the blood lipid profile of wistar albino rats with hypercholesterolaemia. International journal of medical research and health sciences, 6:34-40.
- Tester, R. F. and Morrison, W. R. (1990). Swelling and gelatinization of cereal starches. waxy rice starches. Cereal Chemstry, 67:558563.
- Wanchai, T. (1998). Thai Rice: Taste, Seeds, and Journey. Sarakadee Magazine, 14:114-132.
- Yoshida, S. (1981). Fundamentals of Rice Crop Science. International Rice Research Institute, Los Baños, Laguna, Philippines.

(Received: 17 February 2019, accepted: 20 August 2019)