
Evaluating environmental adaptive variability of various Alfalfa (*Medicago sativa L.*) fodder cultivars

Rizvi, S. A.^{1*}, Gondal, M. R.², Naseem, W.¹, Umair, A.³, Basit, A⁴, Muhammad, G.¹, Khaliq, A.⁵, Ahmad, S.¹ and Khan, A.¹

¹Soil and Water Conservation Research Institute Chakwal, Pakistan; ²Agronomic Research Institute, AARI, Faisalabad, Pakistan; ³Soil and Water Conservation Research Station Sohawa, Pakistan; ⁴Fodder Research Institute Sargodha, Pakistan; ⁵Cotton Research Station, Khanpur, Pakistan.

Rizvi, S. A., Gondal, M. R., Naseem, W., Umair, A., Basit, A., Muhammad, G., Khaliq, A., Ahmad, S. and Khan, A. (2022). Evaluating environmental adaptive variability of various Alfalfa (*Medicago Sativa L.*) fodder cultivars. International Journal of Agricultural Technology 18(4):1767-1782.

Abstract Five cultivars of alfalfa (*Medicago sativa L.*) were studied in Sargodha Pakistan to examine their adaptive variability to improve the forage quality and production. Results of the study revealed notable variations in yield and quality of these cultivars. It was observed that the cultivar SGD-Lucerne 2002 produced highest green fodder yield (101.33 t ha^{-1}), dry matter yield (20.0 t ha^{-1}), crude protein yield (3.89 t ha^{-1}), average plant height (81.5 cm), digestible dry matter (73.29 %), dry matter intake (4.28 %), relative feed values (243.96) and number of tillers (490 m^{-2}) when the temperature remained between 2 °C and 17 °C. Whereas, in December-January, Alpharite showed better plant height (73.33 cm) as compared to SGD-Lucerne 2002 (51.00 cm), having maximum values of acid detergent fiber (33.1%) and neutral detergent fiber (34.7%) while the highest crude protein contents (21.95 %) was found in Surdii 10 cultivar. Based on the results of this study, the authors recommend the cultivation of cultivar SGD-Lucerne 2002 in similar ecologies and environment.

Keywords: Alfalfa (*Medicago sativa L.*) cultivars, Climatic variability, Crude protein, Yield attributes of Alfalfa

Introduction

Alike Pakistan, several countries of the world possess an agricultural economy, which is threatened by the ever-increasing population, degradation of land & water resources, urbanization and industrialization. Therefore, livestock has become an integral part of the agriculture industry, which demands for the enhanced fodder production. Alfalfa (*Medicago sativa L.*) is considered to be one of the highest viable fodder crops on the earth, which has exceedingly

*Corresponding Author: Rizvi, S. A.; Email: engrsultan68@yahoo.com.com, riazgondal1962@gmail.com

inclusive adaptability to dissimilar climates (Moreira and Fageria, 2010). Alfalfa is a versatile, highly palatable and the nutritive crop used as green fodder, silage and pasture having natural source of nitrogen. Because of its better fodder qualities and excessive production, Alfalfa is called the “Queen of fodder crops” in several regions of the world (Yüksel *et al.*, 2016; Kavut and Avcioglu, 2015). Feed plays an important role in livestock nutrition, which supplies fibers, protein, energy and minerals (Kamalak and Canbolat, 2010; Kiraz, 2011). Alfalfa possesses excessive contents of protein, nutrients, minerals and numerous vitamins (Geren *et al.*, 2009). Its dry matter contains considerable excessive digestibility coadjacent with organic materials, crude fiber, protein and fats contrasted to other grass species (Sommer *et al.*, 2005). Alfalfa is an imported species in Pakistan but its production is much below as compared to rest of the world. Although various cultivars of alfalfa are being cultivated on an area of 0.13 million hectares but still there is a great potential in enhancing its production and quality. Less area under alfalfa cultivation, deficient cultivation of high yielding varieties, maximum assertion to geographical adaptability are the big issues of this crop in the country. Therefore, scientific investigation is required for the evaluation and selection of excessive yield and quality cultivars with maximum environmental adaptability. Selection and evaluation of good quality suitable cultivars can help the farmers and producers in getting higher production with a better quality of production (Cacan *et al.*, 2018). Acid detergent fiber (ADF), neutral detergent fiber (NDF), crude fat (CF) and crude protein (CP) classify the quality of Alfalfa fodder. ADF and NDF represent the compounds' cell walls, or structural carbohydrate components i.e., measure of feed. Neutral detergent fiber is the insoluble carbohydrate fraction that remains after a sample of feed has been refluxed in a neutral detergent solution. Low NDF and ADF ratios in digestible dry matter (DDM) provide relative feed value (RFV) and dry matter intake (DMI). Values of these attributes have direct effect on the roughage standard (Kaplan *et al.*, 2016). Alfalfa cultivars possess varied nutrient compositions, therefore the nutrition value of cultivars must be find out (Ülger and Kaplan, 2016). Mainly crude ash, NDF, ADF and CP must be investigated to evaluate the quality of the feed stuff (Uke *et al.*, 2017). Climate change and ambient temperature are amongst the main climatic factors that affect crop production (Anjum *et al.*, 2011). To discover these attributes, some studies have continued in the dissimilar region of various countries of the world (Başbağ 2009), (Saruhan and Kuşvuran 2011; Yüksel *et al.*, 2016). The current research was carried out to evaluate five alfalfa cultivars against their production and quality attributes being cultivated in Punjab, Pakistan and to

find out the correlation in these attributes of cultivars and to recognize the best suitable cultivar.

Materials and methods

Site description

Current field investigation study was performed at the research farm of Fodder Research Institute Sargodha during winter seasons of 2016-17, 2017-18, 2018-19. The soil of experimental field was loam, having pH 7.85 ± 0.11 , Nitrogen (N) $0.06 \% \pm 0.01$, Organic matter 0.61% , available phosphorus $5.6 \pm 0.42 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$ and available potassium $174 \pm 6.34 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$ (Niazi *et al.*, 2020). The soil properties were determined by adopting the standard procedure.

Experimental Treatments and layout

Cultivars of alfalfa were acquired from two sources i.e., the Fodder Research Institute Sargodha and a local private seed company. Five alfalfa cultivars namely Sargodha Lucerne 2002 (SGD-Lucerne 2002), Alpharite, Salt King, Surdii 10 and composite were selected for investigation. SGD-Lucerne 2002 and composite are domestic whereas Alpharite, Salt King, and Surdii 10 were foreign cultivars. All cultivars are tall with vertical growth attributes but resistant to lodging. The experiment was planned in randomized complete block design (RCBD) with four replications. The size of each plot was kept as $2.7 \text{ m} \times 6 \text{ m}$ having 6 lines at 45 cm apart. A uniform seed bed was prepared for sowing at suitable moisture condition. All selected cultivars were sown at a rate 15 kg per hectare with the help of a hand drill during third week of October each year. At the time of seed bed preparation phosphorus (P_2O_5) fertilizer @57 kg ha^{-1} (DAP) was applied and nitrogen (N) @57 kg ha^{-1} (Urea) was applied after 30 days of sowing with 2nd irrigation. These fertilizers were procured from Fauji fertilizer company (FFC). The field remained fallow during previous season.

Climate data

Climate data of various parameters including minimum and maximum temperature, relative humidity and rainfall were recorded from Meteorological Observatory installed at Agriculture College, Sargodha University, Punjab, Pakistan for the period 2017 to 2019 (Table 1).

Table 1. Mean monthly values of climatic parameters recorded at Sargodha

| Month/ paramet ers | Climatic parameters for Year 2017 | | | | | Climatic parameters for Year 2018 | | | | | Climatic parameters for Year 2019 | | | | |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|---------|----------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|-----------|----------------|--------------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------|-----------|----------------|
| | Min Tem p °C | Max Tem p °C | Av Tem p °C | RH % | Rai n mm | Min Tem p °C | Max Tem p °C | Av Tem p °C | R. H% | Rai n mm | Min Tem p °C | Max Tem p °C | Av Tem p °C | R. H% | Rai n mm |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| January | 2 | 23 | 13 | 72 | 28.1 | 4 | 24 | 13 | 68 | 1 | 2 | 22 | 12 | 70 | 24.6 |
| February | 5 | 29 | 17 | 60 | 20.1 | 7 | 29 | 17 | 57 | 18.5 | 5 | 23 | 14 | 71 | 21.4 |
| March | 8 | 37 | 21 | 54 | 11.5 | 12 | 38 | 23 | 52 | 19.3 | 9 | 33 | 19 | 61 | 40.3 |
| April | 15 | 45 | 29 | 40 | 44.3 | 16 | 41 | 29 | 46 | 32.8 | 16 | 41 | 28 | 48 | 80.8 |
| May | 21 | 45 | 33 | 37 | 52.1 | 21 | 44 | 33 | 40 | 56.4 | 20 | 46 | 31 | 39 | 32.5 |
| June | 21 | 46 | 32 | 54 | 50.7 | 23 | 44 | 33 | 57 | 65.8 | 22 | 46 | 34 | 41 | 7.5 |
| July | 23 | 40 | 31 | 68 | 113. | 23 | 39 | 30 | 77 | 121. | 22 | 43 | 30 | 68 | 77.3 |
| | | | | | 9 | | | | 4 | | | | | | |
| August | 22 | 40 | 31 | 69 | 64.1 | 22 | 38 | 30 | 76 | 25.7 | 23 | 38 | 30 | 71 | 59.1 |
| September | 20 | 38 | 30 | 63 | 25.3 | 18 | 36 | 28 | 74 | 28.6 | 21 | 39 | 30 | 68 | 38.7 |
| October | 16 | 39 | 28 | 56 | 1.2 | 14 | 34 | 24 | 66 | 19.5 | 17 | 34 | 25 | 62 | 14 |
| November | 8 | 28 | 18 | 72 | 16.4 | 10 | 29 | 19 | 61 | 0 | 11 | 30 | 20 | 64 | 19 |
| December | 8 | 26 | 15 | 63 | 17.5 | 3 | 26 | 14 | 69 | 28.4 | 0 | 24 | 11 | 78 | 7.6 |
| Average | 14.0 8 | 36.3 3 | 24.8 3 | 59 | 37.1 3 | 14.3 | 36.0 | 24.4 | 61.9 1 | 34.7 5 | 14 | 34.9 1 | 23.6 1 | 61.7 5 | 35.1 7 |

The data showed that average monthly temperature and relative humidity for the years 2017, 2018, and 2019 were almost at par as 24.83, 24.4, 23.6 °C and 59, 61.91, 61.75 %, respectively and rain fall slightly varied as 445 mm in 2017, 417 mm in 2018 & 422 mm in 2019. During the months of insufficient rainfall, canal irrigation was applied according to the requirement of crop.

Crop harvesting and data records

After 74 days of sowing, the crop was harvested at 10% flowering, followed by subsequent cutting when the crop attained 10 % flowering as proposed by Manga *et al.*, 2003. Ten random plants were selected from each treatment at harvesting and data on plant height was recorded. Three samples of one meter square (1 m²) from each treatment were randomly selected for counting tillers per meter square. Plant height was also recorded at both minimum and maximum temperatures during December-January, and May-June respectively. The effect of climate on the growth of cultivars were thus observed. Green fodder yield was determined after harvesting all treatments for

the entire plots by weighing in the field. Dry matter was determined by weighing fresh and dried samples. The fresh samples were dried at 70 °C for 48 hours. The dry matter ratio was also calculated from these samples. The experiment was continued for three years, and ten cuts were obtained during each year.

Crude protein was calculated using the recommended method (AOAC, 1990). CP contents were obtained by multiplying the standard factor 6.25 with Nitrogen (%) achieved by Kjeldahl procedure. As suggested by Sharpe, (2018), NDF was prepared from one gram sample taken in a conical flask, mixed with 100 ml NDF reagent solution and 0.50 g of sodium sulphite. The flask was then fixed in the cooling condenser and heated slowly for 60 minutes followed by washing of residues four times with hot distilled water and once with acetone, then dried. Residues were then shifted to an already weighed crucible and kept at 105 °C in the oven for four hours followed by keeping the dried residues in a desiccator for ten minutes. NDF ratios were calculated using the following expression:

$$\text{NDF (\%)} = \text{weight of residues / sample weight} \times 100$$

These residues were then shifted in 500 ml flask and placed on the condenser after applying 100 ml acid detergent solution. This substance was heated for approximately 2-3 minutes and refluxed by decreasing temperature for one hour and filtered the contents using suction pump. The residues were then transferred in crucible already weighed and put at 105 °C in oven for one day. After drying, the crucible was kept in a desiccator for cooling and thus ADF was obtained. Similarly, ADF ratio was determined by the following formula:

$$\text{ADF ratio} = \text{weight of ADF residue / weight of sample} \times 100$$

Afterwards DDM, DMI and RFV were calculated by using NDF & ADF according to Morris, 2005 as given below:

$$\text{DDM} = 88.9 - (0.779 \times \% \text{ ADF})$$

$$\text{DMI} = 120 / \% \text{ NDF}$$

$$\text{RFV} = (\text{DDM} \times \text{DMI}) / 1.29$$

Statistical analysis

Various data recorded from field experiment were analysed statistically and calculated ANOVA by JMP Statistics software. Means were compared with HSD test and the relationship among investigated traits was found out by calculating the correlation coefficient (Kalayci, 2005).

Results

The results showed significant differences among yields of alfalfa cultivars for both green fodder and dry matter (Table 2). Maximum green fodder yield (101.33 t ha^{-1}) and dry matter yield (20.0 t ha^{-1}) were obtained in cultivar SGD-Lucerne 2002 while the minimum yield of green fodder (70.23 t ha^{-1}) and dry matter (15.01 t ha^{-1}) was observed in cultivar Surdii 10. Considering the years, the highest significant green fodder (94.66 t ha^{-1}) and dry matter yield (18.77 t ha^{-1}) was observed in the third year of the study (i.e., 2019) while the lowest values of green fodder (68.67 t ha^{-1}) and dry (13.59 t ha^{-1} respectively) was observed in the first year (i.e., 2107).

Table 2. Green and dry matter yield of various cultivars of alfalfa

| Cultivars | Green fodder yield (tons /ha) | | | | Dry matter yield (tons /ha) | | | |
|------------------------|-------------------------------|------------|-------------|---------|-----------------------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|
| | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | Means | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | Means |
| SGD-Lucerne 2002 | 84.60f b | 104.8 a | 114.60 a | 101.33 | 16.65 fg h | 20.71 b ef | 22.64 a cd | 20.00 a b |
| Alpharite | 73.52 i | 93.52 d | 98.52 c | 88.52 b | 13.58 h | 17.27 ef | 18.22 cd | 16.36 b |
| Salt King | 69.44 i | 89.44 e | 94.44 d | 84.44 c | 13.66 h | 17.59 de | 18.57 c | 16.61 b |
| Surdii 10 | 54.97 l | 75.48 h | 80.25 g | 70.23 e | 11.76 i | 16.13 g | 17.15 ef | 15.01 c |
| Composite | 60.82 k | 80.25 g | 85.50 f | 75.52 d | 12.29 i | 16.21 g | 17.27 ef | 15.25 c |
| Means | 68.67 c | 88.70 b | 94.66 a | | 13.59 c | 17.58 b | 18.77 a | |
| LSD | 0.2282 | | 1.183 | | 0.1305 | | 0.489 | |
| LSD interactive effect | 1.85 | | | | 0.847 | | | |

The maximum plant height (81.5 cm) was recorded in cultivar SGD-Lucerne 2002 and the lowest (68.58 cm) value was recorded in cultivar Surdii 10 (Table 3). Considering the plant height among years, the highest average value (76.50 cm) was recorded in the third year of study and the minimum value of (68.00 cm) was observed in the first year of the study (i.e., 2017). Considering the temperature regime, cultivar SGD-Lucerne 2002 performed better with respect to plant height as compared to other cultivars when the average temperature remained around 34°C during May and June. SGD-Lucerne 2002 showed maximum height (77.5 cm) and the lowest height (48.08 cm) was observed in Surdii 10 (Table 4). During January and February when average temperature remained up to 17°C , Alpharite showed maximum height (73.33cm) and the lowest height (51.0 cm) was observed in SGD-Lucerne 2002

(Table 3). Considering years, the maximum average height was recorded in the third year, i.e., 2019.

Table 3. Comparison of plant height, Average of 10 cuts versus height of December to February

| Year/cultivars | Av. Plant height of 10 cuts (cm) | | | | Plant height during December-January (cm) | | | |
|------------------------|----------------------------------|----------|-----------|----------|---|-----------|-----------|---------|
| | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | Means | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | Means |
| SGD-Lucerne 2002 | 76.75 b | 82.25 a | 85.50 a | 81.50 a | 46.25 h | 52.00 gh | 57.75 g | 51.00 d |
| Alpharite | 67.50 fgh | 74.75 bc | 76.75 b | 73.00 b | 70.75 abc | 73.00 ab | 76.25 a | 73.33 a |
| Salt King | 65.75 gh | 71.50 de | 74.25bcd | 70.50 c | 65.25 cde | 68.75 bcd | 70.75 abc | 68.25 b |
| Surdii 10 | 64.75 h | 68.25 fg | 72.75 cde | 68.58 d | 56.75 fg | 61.50 ef | 63.25 de | 60.50 c |
| Composite | 65.25 gh | 69.50 ef | 73.25 cd | 69.33 cd | 65.50 cde | 66.25 cde | 68.75 bcd | 66.83 b |
| Means | 68.00 c | 73.25 b | 76.50 a | | 60.90 c | 64.30 b | 66.75 a | |
| LSD | 1.795 | | 1.875 | | 1.354 | | 3.767 | |
| LSD interactive effect | 3.248 | | | | 6.525 | | | |

Table 4. Plant height of alfalfa cultivars at a higher temperature during May and June

| Cultivars Year | Plant height during May and June | | | |
|-------------------|----------------------------------|-------|--------|--------|
| | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | Means |
| SGD-Lucerne 2002 | 72.75 | 78.25 | 81.50 | 77.50a |
| Alpharite | 54.50 | 58.75 | 60.50 | 57.91b |
| Salt King | 51.75 | 53.70 | 54.90 | 53.45c |
| Surdii 10 | 46.75 | 47.5 | 50.00 | 48.08e |
| Composite | 49.75 | 51.80 | 54.25 | 51.93d |
| Means | 55.1c | 58b | 60.23a | |
| LSD | 0.5 | | | 0.51 |

Crude protein contents (%) and yield (t ha⁻¹)

The results showed significant differences in crude protein contents (%) and yields of five alfalfa cultivars (Table 5). Maximum crude protein contents were recorded in cultivar Surdii 10 (21.95 %) while the lowest was observed in salt King (18.86 %). Years and interactive effect was found non-significant. Crude protein yield was maximum (3.89 t ha⁻¹) in SGD-Lucerne 2002 while the lowest was obtained by Salt King (3.13 t ha⁻¹). Considering the CP yield of years, a significantly higher yield (3.81 t ha⁻¹) was achieved in the third year (i.e., 2019) and the lowest value- (2.76 t ha⁻¹) was observed in the year 2017.

ADF(%) and NDF(%) ratios

Alike other yield parameters as discussed above, significant differences were also observed in acid detergent fiber (ADF) and neutral detergent fiber (NDF) ratios with respect to cultivars as well as the years (table 6). Maximum

ADF and NDF ratios were recorded in cultivar Alpharite (23.73 % and 34.70 % respectively) while minimum ratios (26.0 and 28.47 % respectively) were observed in cultivar SGD-Lucerne 2002. Considering the ratios of years, the highest ratio of ADF and NDF(24.41 % and 35.64 % respectively) were recorded in the year 2019 and the lowest values (19.21 and 28.8 % respectively) were observed in 2017.

Table 5. Crude protein ratio (%) and crude protein yield ($t\ ha^{-1}$) in alfalfa cultivars

| Cultivars | Crude protein contents (%) | | | | Crude protein yield ($t\ ha^{-1}$) | | | |
|------------------------|----------------------------|-------|-------|---------|--------------------------------------|-----------|----------|----------|
| | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | Means | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | Means |
| SGD-Lucerne 2002 | 19.49 | 19.44 | 19.44 | 19.46 c | 3.245 f | 4.025 b | 4.403 a | 3.891 a |
| Alpharite | 20.87 | 20.87 | 20.91 | 20.88 b | 2.835 g | 3.605 cd | 3.810 c | 3.417 b |
| Salt King | 18.85 | 18.86 | 18.86 | 18.86 d | 2.575 h | 3.318 ef | 3.503 de | 3.132 d |
| Surdii 10 | 21.94 | 21.95 | 21.96 | 21.95 a | 2.580 h | 3.540 de | 3.765 c | 3.295 bc |
| Composite | 20.83 | 20.82 | 20.83 | 20.83 b | 2.560 h | 3.375 def | 3.598 cd | 3.178 cd |
| Means | 20.39 | 20.38 | 20.40 | | 2.76 c | 3.57 b | 3.815 a | |
| LSD | Ns | | 0.394 | | 0.032 | | 0.135 | |
| LSD interactive effect | NS | | | 0.2338 | | | | |

Table 6. ADF and NDF ratios of alfalfa cultivars

| Cultivars / years | ADF ratios (%) | | | | NDF ratios (%) | | | |
|------------------------|----------------|----------|---------|---------|----------------|----------|----------|----------|
| | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | Means | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | Means |
| SGD-Lucerne 2002 | 17.30 g | 20.30 ef | 22.50 c | 20.03 c | 26.00 f | 25.90 f | 33.50 c | 28.47 d |
| Alpharite | 21.00 de | 24.00 b | 26.20 a | 23.73 a | 33.10 c | 34.00 bc | 37.00 a | 34.70 a |
| Salt King | 19.03 f | 22.03 cd | 24.23 b | 21.76 b | 27.70 ef | 30.00 de | 36.70 a | 31.47 bv |
| Surdii 10 | 19.20 f | 22.20 cd | 24.40 b | 21.93 b | 30.30 d | 29.50 de | 36.20 ab | 32.00 b |
| Composite | 19.50 f | 22.50 c | 24.70 b | 22.23 b | 26.90 f | 29.80 de | 34.80 bc | 30.50 c |
| Means | 19.21 c | 22.21 b | 24.42 a | | 28.80 b | 29.84 b | 35.65 a | |
| LSD | 0.2825 | | 0.8492 | | 2.054 | | 1.032 | |
| LSD interactive effect | 1.472 | | | 1.788 | | | | |

DDM (digestible dry matter) and DMI (dry matter intake)

The differences among the ratios (%) of DDM and DMI were found significant for both the Cultivars and years (Table 7). The highest values of DDM and DMI (73.29 % and 4.28 % respectively) were recorded in cultivar SGD-Lucerne 2002 while the lowest values (70.29 % and 3.47 % respectively) were noted in cultivar Alpharite. Considering the ratios of years, the highest ratios (73.87 % and 4.21 % respectively) were observed in the first year (i.e.,

2017) and the lowest values (69.84 % and 3.36 % respectively) were recorded in the year 2019.

Table 7. DDM and DMI values of alfalfa cultivars

| Cultivars / years | DDM (%) | | | | DMI (%) | | | |
|------------------------|----------|----------|---------|---------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | Means | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | Means |
| SGD-Lucerne 2002 | 75.42 a | 73.08 bc | 71.38 e | 73.29 a | 4.620 a | 4.640 a | 3.592 d | 4.284 a |
| Alpharite | 72.45 cd | 70.20 fg | 68.24 h | 70.30 c | 3.638 d | 3.533 de | 3.248 e | 3.473 d |
| Salt King | 74.08 a | 71.74 de | 70.03 g | 71.95 b | 4.348 ab | 4.013 c | 3.275 e | 3.878 bc |
| Surdii 10 | 73.94 b | 71.61 de | 69.89 h | 71.82 b | 3.968 c | 4.070 bc | 3.230 e | 3.756 c |
| Composite | 73.46 bc | 71.37 ef | 69.65 g | 71.50 b | 4.475 a | 4.038 bc | 3.455 de | 3.989 b |
| Means | 73.87 a | 71.60 b | 69.84 c | | 4.210 a | 4.059 a | 3.360 b | |
| LSD | 0.2028 | | 0.6781 | | 0.2509 | | 0.1324 | |
| LSD interactive effect | 1.1744 | | 0.2292 | | | | | |

Relative feed values (RFV) and tillers m⁻²

RFV and tillers m⁻² of different cultivars showed significant differences for both cultivars and years (Table 8) maximum RFV and the number of tillers m⁻² (244 and 490.) were recorded in SGD-Lucerne 2002 while minimum RFV and tillers m⁻² (209 and 345 respectively) were found in cultivar Surdii 10. With respect to years, maximum RFV and minimum tillers m⁻² were calculated in the year 2017 while minimum RFV and maximum tillers m⁻² were obtained in the third year 2019.

Table 8. RFV and tillers m⁻² of different cultivars

| Cultivars / years | RFV values | | | | Tillers m ⁻² | | | |
|------------------------|------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-------------------------|----------|----------|----------|
| | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | Means | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 | Means |
| SGD-Lucerne 2002 | 270.14 a | 262.87 ab | 198.88 d | 243.96 a | 482.5 a | 492.5 a | 496.3 a | 490.4 a |
| Alpharite | 204.25 d | 192.26 de | 171.71 f | 189.41 d | 382.5 bc | 392.5 b | 400.0 b | 391.7 b |
| Salt King | 249.57 b | 223.24 c | 177.67 ef | 216.82 bc | 366.3 bc | 375.0 bc | 377.3 bc | 372.8 b |
| Surdii 10 | 227.38 c | 225.81 c | 174.88 ef | 209.36 c | 337.5 c | 346.3 c | 351.3 c | 345.00 c |
| Composite | 253.37 b | 223.23 c | 186.54 de | 221.05 b | 362.5 bc | 367.5 bc | 371.8 bc | 367.3 bc |
| Means | 240.94 a | 225.48 b | 181.93 c | | 386.3 c | 349.8 b | 399.3 a | |
| LSD | 14.566 | | 7.5729 | | 3.2549 | | 26.031 | |
| LSD interactive effect | 13.117 | | 45.087 | | | | | |

Correlation among traits

The correlation coefficient of tested traits in five alfalfa cultivars showed significant differences from each other except a few, those remained insignificant (Table 9). Tillers showed significant differences having a positive correlation with yields of green fodder & dry matter, plant height and CPY, while a non-significant negative correlation was found with ADF, NDF and CPR. Plant height showed a strong positive correlation with green fodder yield, DMY, tillers, CPY, ADF and positive non-significant correlation with NDF while negative significance with DDM, CPR and non-significant with DMI, RFV. Green fodder yield showed a strong positive correlation with ADF, CPY, DMY & NDF, while a strong negative correlation with CPR, DDM, DMI & RFV. The yield of the dry matter showed a strong positive correlation with ADF, CPY & NDF and a highly negative correlation with CPR, DDM, DMI & RFV. CPR showed highly significant negative correlation with forage yield, DMY, PH, tillers, and negative non-significant correlation with CPY DDM, DMI, and RFV. The yield of crude protein showed a strong positive correlation with ADF & NDF and highly negative correlation with parameters like CPR, DDM, DMI & RFV. Acid detergent fiber had strong positive correlation with NDF and a negative correlation strongly significant to DDM, RFV, and DMI. Neutral detergent fiber (NDF) had a negative correlation strongly significant to DDM RFV, and DMI. DDM showed a highly significant positive correlation with RFV and DMI and there had strongly positive correlation between DMI and RFV.

Table 9. Correlation among various traits

| Traits | Av. PH | FY | DM | CPR | CPY | ADF | NDF | DDM | DMI | RFV |
|---------|---------|---------|----------|----------|---------|---------|---------|---------|----------|-------------------------|
| Tillers | 0.724** | 0.621** | 0.635** | -0.410** | 0.538** | -0.114 | -0.155 | 0.110 | 0.197 | 0.198 |
| Av. PH | | 0.813** | 0.790*f | -0.353** | 0.729ff | 0.260* | 0.181 | -0.258* | -0.140 | -0.160 |
| FY | | | 0.961*f- | -0.331** | 0.893*f | 0.335*f | 0.314*f | - | -0.280* | -0.300** |
| DM | | | | -0.412** | 0.952*f | 0.315*f | 0.297*f | - | -0.266* | -0.282* |
| CPR | | | | | -0.054 | 0.210 | 0.176 | -0.214 | -0.216 | -0.231 |
| CPY | | | | | | 0.410** | 0.377*f | - | -0.357** | -0.374** |
| ADF | | | | | | | 0.686** | - | -0.698** | -0.787** |
| NDF | | | | | | | | - | -0.985** | -0.974** |
| DDM | | | | | | | | | 0.679** | |
| DMI | | | | | | | | | | 0.689** 0.779** 0.992** |

* significant at P<0.05; ** significant at P<0.01;

Where; PH denotes plant height; Av denotes Average; DM denotes dry matter; FY denotes fodder yield; CPY denotes crude protein yield; CPR denotes crude protein ratio; ADF denotes acid detergent fiber; NDF denotes neutral detergent fiber; DDM denotes digestible dry matter; DMI denotes dry matter intake; RFV denotes relative feed values.

Discussion

Results of the study showed a significant effect of weather parameters on various attributes of cultivars especially the temperature. Similar findings were reported i.e., the climatic condition may show considerable differences in yield parameters of various cultivars of alfalfa (Luo *et al.*, 2016). Rain fall, temperature, soil structure, genetics of plants, cultural practices and sowing time significantly affect the yields of green fodder and dry matter (Seydoğlu, 2014). Yields of green fodder in alfalfa cultivars were recorded as 9.31 to 118.4 t ha⁻¹ (Kır and Soya, 2006; Demiroğlu *et al.*, 2008) and that of dry matter were observed as 20.25 to 32.87 t ha⁻¹ (Kuşvuran and Sağlamtimur, (2005); Sengul *et al.*, 2003; Kır and Soya, 2006; Demiroğlu *et al.*, 2008; Saruhan and Kuşvuran, 2011). Various scientists reported different values of yield in alfalfa for green fodder and dry matter due to differences of ecological conditions, number of cuts and genetic makeup (Cacan *et al.*, 2018). Protein and dry matter ratio mostly depend on genetic properties of the seed. These properties commonly vary by shoot and leaf ratio, the period of ripening, fertilization and temperatures (Ball *et al.*, 2001). In various studies, CPR were recorded in the range of 15.95 to 28.09 % (Sengul *et al.*, 2003; Kır and Soya, 2006; Başbağ, 2009; Kiraz, 2011; Saruhan and Kuşvuran, 2011; Çaçan *et al.*, 2015). In a research study, the variation in CPY was reported because of the variations in hay production of alfalfa cultivars with respect to crude protein ratio while quality of all cultivars was observed as good (Rohweder *et al.*, 1978). Acid detergent fiber and neutral detergent fiber ratios are considered quality indicators of fodder crops (Aydın *et al.*, 2010) and they recommended these values minimum because these values have adverse effect on digestibility and intake of feed. The feed having ideal ratios of ADF and NDF would be preferred (Kiraz, 2011). ADF and NDF %age of some alfalfa cultivars were recorded between 16.8 to 41.0 % and 20.3 to 49.0 % respectively (Basbag *et al.*, 2009; Canbolat and Karaman, 2009; Kiraz, 2011; Çaçan *et al.*, 2015). While DDM and DMI values in the range of 56.9 to 75.8 %, and 2.46 to 5.9 % respectively (Başbağ, 2009; Canbolat and Karaman, 2009; Kiraz, 2011; Çaçan *et al.*, 2015) and RFV between 127.0 to 347.0 (Başbağ, 2009; Kiraz, 2011; Çaçan *et al.*, 2015). Low NDF and ADF ratios in all cultivars were kept in better quality group (Rohweder *et al.*, 1978). With time as the plant age increases, the ratio of ADF and NDF compound, the formation of cell wall also enhances (Uke *et al.*, 2017). The results of this study showed that the values of NDF and ADF ratios were enhanced in the second year (2018) and third years (2019), however the values of DMI, RFV and DDM rates tended to decrease as years progress. The quality standard report of (Lacefield, 1988) referred to the

values of CP equal to 19 %, NDF below 40 %, ADF below 31 %, DMI above 3 %, RFV above 151%, and DDM above 65 % in all cultivars falling under “prime” group. In another investigation, plant heights were recorded in the range of 49.7 to 86.8 cm (Kusvuran *et al.*, 2005; Kir and Soya, 2006; Demiroğlu *et al.*, 2008; Basbag *et al.*, 2009; Yesil and sengul, 2009; Saruhan and Kusvuran, 2011). Various scientists i.e., (Musa *et al.*, 1993) reported that significantly higher yields were obtained due to more number of tillers and greater plant height and similarly they also reported that stem diameter and number of tillers per plant were associated with fresh forage and dry matter yield. (Mattera *et al.*, 2013) found that increased plant density had positive effect on alfalfa production due to higher number of stem per unit area. Results on the correlation among different forage yield attributes of alfalfa cultivars obtained under the current investigation are presented in table 9. These results indicate that different cultivars had a dominant effect on fodder yield, plant growth and yield parameters as well as quality of alfalfa. Number of tillers-plant⁻¹ showed a significant positive correlation with plant height, green fodder yield, dry matter yield, and crude protein yield as well as quality traits i.e., CP, RFV & RFQ (Ali *et al.*, 2011a; Ali *et al.*, 2011b; Tariq *et al.*, 2012; Bibi *et al.*, 2016; Kumar *et al.*, 2016). Plant height also showed a positive correlation with dry matter yield, fresh fodder yield, CPY, NDF & ADF, while the negative correlation with CPR, DDM, DMI and RFV (Cacan *et al.*, 2018) but under the present investigation correlation between PH and NDF was non-significantly positive. A non-significant negative correlation of PH was observed with DDM, DMI, and RFV. Fodder yield had a significant and positive correlation with yield and quality attributes, as observed by Yadav *et al.*, 2003; Shinde *et al.*, 2012; Tariq *et al.*, 2012; Amare *et al.*, 2015; Çaçan *et al.*, 2015. Iyanar *et al.*, 2010; Bibi *et al.*, 2016 reported a significant positive correlation between plant height and GFY. Dry matter yield showed a significant positive correlation with PH, GFY, CPY, ADF, NDF (Cacan *et al.*, 2018). Results revealed that an increase in fresh fodder yield would increase DMY as well as other contributing traits (Sukhchain, 2008; Warkad *et al.*, 2010; Nabin and Pahuja, 2013). Mushtaq *et al.*, 2013 also observed that green fodder had a significant positive correlation with the number of tillers, plant height and dry matter yield while the dry matter showed a significantly positive correlation with plant height and green fodder yield. Kumar *et al.*, 2016 reported that CPY, GFY, DMY had highly significant positive correlation with PH, tillers, RFV, and CPR.

It was concluded that the highest plant height, fresh forage, dry matter, crude protein yield and the lowest ratio of acid detergent fiber (ADF) and neutral detergent fiber (NDF) was observed in SGD-Lucerne 2002 with

somewhat higher values of DDM and DMI. Therefore, It is recommended the cultivation of alfalfa cultivar SGD-Lucerne 2002 for fodder in the areas having temperatures above 15 °C round the major part of the year.

Acknowledgments

The authors acknowledge the management of Fodder Research Institute for providing the facilities to conduct this experimental study.

References

Ali, Q., Ahsan, M., Tahir, M. H. N., Elahi, M., Farooq, J. and Waseem, M. (2011a). Gene Expression and Functional Genomic Approach for abiotic stress tolerance in different crop species. International Journal for Agro Veterinary and Medical Sciences, 2:221-248.

Ali, Q., Elahi, M., Ahsan, M., Tahir, M. H. N. and Basra, S. M. A. (2011b). Genetic evaluation of maize (*Zea mays* L.) genotypes at seedling stage under moisture stress. International Journal for Agro Veterinary and Medical Sciences, 5:184-193.

Amare, K., Zeleke, H. and Bultosa, G. (2015). Variability for yield, yield related traits and association among traits of sorghum (*Sorghum Bicolor* (L.) Moench) varieties in Wollo, Ethiopia. Journal of Plant Breeding and Crop Science, 7:125-133.

Anjum, F., Wahid, A., Farooq, M. and Javed, F. (2011). Potential of foliar applied thiourea in improving salt and high temperature tolerance of bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum*). International Journal of Agriculture and Biology, 13:251-256.

AOAC. (1990). Methods of Analysis, AOAC. Vol. 1. The Association.

Avcı, M., S. Çınar, C. Yücel, I. Inal (2010). Evaluation of some selected alfalfa (*Medicago sativa* L.) lines for herbage yield and forage quality. Journal of Food, Agriculture and Environment, 8:545-549.

Aydın, N., Mut, Z., Mut, H. and Ayan, I. (2010). Effect of autumn and spring sowing dates on hay yield and quality of oat (*Avena sativa* L.) genotypes. Journal of Animal and Veterinary Advances, 9:1539-1545.

Ball, D., Collins, M., Lacefield, G., Martin, N., Mertens, D., Olson, K., Putnam, D., Undersander, D. and Wolf, M. (2001). Understanding forage quality. American Farm Bureau Federation Publication 1-01, Park Ridge, IL, IL.

Başbağ, M. (2009). Determination of seed yields of some Alfalfa (*Medicago sativa* L.) cultivars in Diyarbakır ecological conditions. Journal of the Faculty of Agriculture of Harran University (Turkey), 13:43-49.

Basbag, M., Demirel, R. and Avcı, M. (2009). Determination of some agronomical and quality properties of wild alfalfa (*Medicago sativa* L.) clones in Turkey. Journal of Food, Agriculture and Environment, 7:357-359.

Bibi, A., Zahid, M. I., Sadaqat, H. A. and Fatima, B. (2016). Correlation analysis among forage yield and quality components in sorghum sudangrass hybrids under water stress conditions. Global Journal of Biotechnology & Biochemistry, 5:444-448.

Çaçan, E., Aydin, A. and Başbağ, M. (2015). Bingöl Üniversitesi yerleşkesinde yer alan bazı baklagil yem bitkilerine ait kalite özelliklerinin belirlenmesi. Türk Tarım ve Doğa Bilimleri Dergisi, 2:105-111.

Cacan, E., Kokten, K. and Kaplan, M. (2018). Determination of yield and quality characteristics of some alfalfa (*Medicago sativa L.*) cultivars in the East Anatolia Region of Turkey and correlation analysis between these properties. *Applied Ecology and Environmental Research*, 16:1185-1198.

Canbolat, Ö. and Karaman, S. (2009). Comparison of in vitro gas production, organic matter digestibility, relative feed value and metabolizable energy contents of some legume forages. *Tarim Bilimleri Dergisi*, 15:188-195.

Demiroğlu, G., Geren, H. and Avcioğlu, R. (2008). Adaptation of different alfalfa (*Medicago sativa L.*) genotypes under Aegean Region conditions. *Journal of Ege University Faculty of Agriculture*, 45:1-10.

Geren, H., Kir, B., Demiroğlu, G. and Kavut, Y. T. (2009). Effects of different soil textures on the yield and chemical composition of alfalfa (*Medicago sativa L.*) cultivars under Mediterranean climate conditions. *Asian Journal of Chemistry*, 21:5517.

Iyanar, K., Vijayakumar, G. and Khan, A. (2010). Correlation and path analysis in multicut fodder sorghum. *Electronic Journal of Plant Breeding*, 1:1006-1009.

Kalayci, M. (2005). Use JUMP with Examples and Anova Models for Agricultural Research. Anatolia Agricultural Research Institute, Directorate Publications 21.

Kamalak, A., Canbolat, O. (2010). Determination of nutritive value of wild narrow-leaved clover (*Trifolium angustifolium*) hay harvested at three maturity stages using chemical composition and in vitro gas production. *Trop Grassland*, 44:128-133.

Kaplan, M., Baran, O., Unlukara, A., Kale, H., Arslan, M., Kara, K., Beyzi, S. B., Konca, Y. and Ulas, A. (2016). The effects of different nitrogen doses and irrigation levels on yield, nutritive value, fermentation and gas production of corn silage. *Turkish Journal of Field Crops*, 2:100-108.

Kavut, Y. and Avcioğlu, R. (2015). Yield and quality performances of various alfalfa (*Medicago sativa L.*) cultivars in different soil textures in a mediterranean environment. *Turkish Journal of Field Crops*, 20:65-71.

Kır, B. and Soya, H. (2006). The investigation on quality characteristics with seed and hay yields in some alfalfa cultivars. (PhD thesis) field crops department, University of Ege, Izmir.

Kiraz, A. B. (2011). Determination of relative feed value of some legume hays harvested at flowering stage. *Asian Journal of Animal and Veterinary Advances*, 6:525-530.

Kumar, B., Dhaliwal, S. S., Singh, S. T., Lamba, J. S. and Ram, H. (2016). Herbage production, nutritional composition and quality of teosinte under Fe fertilization. *International Journal of Agriculture and Biology*, 18.

Kuşvuran, A. and Sağlamtimur, Vtt. (2005) Determination of adaptation of alfalfa (*Medicago sativa L.*) and some grasses under the irrigated conditions of turkish republic of northern cyprus. *Tıpkıye VI. Tarla Bitkileri Kongresi*, 5-9 Eylül 2005, Antalya:1181-1186.

Lacefield, G. (1988). Alfalfa hay quality makes the difference. University of Kentucky Department of Agronomy. *Agriculture and Natural Resources*, 32:1-6.

Luo, K., Jahufer, M., Wu, F., Di, H., Zhang, D., Meng, X., Zhang, J. and Wang, Y. (2016). Genotypic variation in a breeding population of yellow sweet clover (*Melilotus officinalis*). *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 7:972.

Manga, I., Acar, Z. and Ayan, I. (2003). Leguminous forage crops. Text book No. 7-Faculty of Agriculture, Ondokuz, mayis university, samsun, Turkey, 181-187.

Mattera, J., Romero, L. A., Cuatrín, A. L., Cornaglia, P. S. and Grimoldi, A. A. (2013). Yield components, light interception and radiation use efficiency of Lucerne (*Medicago sativa L.*) in response to row spacing. *European Journal of Agronomy*, 45:87-95.

Moreira, A., Fageria, N. K. (2010). Liming influence on soil chemical properties, nutritional status and yield of alfalfa grown in acid soil. *Revista Brasileira de Ciencia do solo*, 34:1231-1239.

Musa, M., Gondal, M. R., Ali, A., Ahmad, H. and Atta, M. (1993). Performance of high fodder yielding genotypes of oats (*Avena sativa* L.). *The Journal of Agricultural Research (Pakistan)*, 31:161-166.

Mushtaq, A., Gul, Z., Mir, S., Dar, Z., Dar, S., Shahida, I., Bukhari, S., Khan, G. H. and Asima, G. (2013). Estimation of correlation coefficient in oats (*Avena sativa* L.) for forage yield, grain yield and their contributing traits. *International Journal of Plant Breeding and Genetics*, 7:188-191.

Nabin, B., Pahuja, S. (2013). Correlation and path coefficient analysis for some DUS traits in forage sorghum [*Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench] genotypes. *Annals of Biology*, 29:127-131.

Niazi, I. A. K., Akhtar, S., Kohli, S., Naveed, A., Rauf, S. and Shehzad, M. (2020). Oat (*Avena sativa* L.) advanced lines outperform existing genotypes for forage yield and its components under terminal heat stress. *Pakistan Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 57:327-33.

Rohweder, D., Barnes, R. and Jorgensen, N. (1978). Proposed hay grading standards based on laboratory analyses for evaluating quality. *Journal of Animal Science*, 47:747-759.

Saruhan, V. and Kuşvuran, A. (2011). Determination of yield performances of some Lucerne cultivars and genotypes under the Güneydoğu Anadolu region conditions. *Ege Üniversitesi Ziraat Fakültesi Dergisi*, 48:131-138.

Sengul, S., Tahtacioglu, L. and Mermer, A. (2003). Determination of suitable alfalfa (*Medicago sativa* L.) cultivars and lines for Eastern Anatolia Region. *Journal of the Faculty of Agriculture*, 34:321-325.

Seydoğlu, S. (2014). Researches on determination yield and yield components of some common vetch (*Vicia sativa* L.) genotypes in ecological conditions of Diyarbakır. *Türkiye Tarımsal Araştırmalar Dergisi*, 1:117-127.

Sharpe, P. H. (Ed) (2018). Horse pasture management. Academic Press.

Shinde, D., Lodam, V., Patil, S. and Jadhav, B. (2012). Character association in sweet sorghum [*Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench]. *Agricultural Science Digest - A Research Journal*, 32:48-51.

Sommer, A., Vodnansky, M., Petrikovic, P. and Pozgaj, R. (2005). Influence of Lucerne and meadow hay quality on the digestibility of nutrients in the roe deer. *Czech Journal of Animal Science*, 50:74-80.

Sukhchain, S. K. (2008). Association analysis among vegetative traits in forage sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor*). *Range management & Agroforestry*, 29:147-148.

Tariq, A.S., Akram, Z., Shabbir, G., Gulfraz, M., Khan, K. S., Iqbal, M. S. and Mahmood, T. (2012). Character association and inheritance studies of different sorghum genotypes for fodder yield and quality under irrigated and rainfed conditions. *African Journal of Biotechnology*, 11:9189-9195.

Uke, O., Kale, H., Kaplan, M. and Kamalak, A. (2017). Effects of maturity stages on hay yield and quality, gas and methane production of quinoa (*Chenopodium quinoa* Willd.). *Kahramanmaraş Sutcu Imam University Journal of Natural Sciences*, 20:42-46.

Ülger, İ. and Kaplan, M. (2016). Variations in Potential Nutritive Value, Gas and Methane Production of Local Sainfoin (*Onobrychis sativa*) Populations. *Alinteri Zirai Bilimler Dergisi*, 31:42-47.

Warkad, Y., Tidke, R., Maske, N., Kharde, A. and Potdukhe, N. (2010). Character association and path analysis in sorghum [*Sorghum bicolor* (L.) Moench. International Journal of Agricultural Science, 6:100-104.

Yadav, R., Grewal, R. and Pahuja, S. (2003). Association analysis for fodder yield and its components in forage sorghum. Forage Research, 28:230-232.

Yesil, M. and Sangul, S. (2009). A study on determining some of the morphological characteristic of Alfalfa echo types collected from various regions of Turkey. Alinteri Journal of Agriculture Sciences, 16:1-6.

Yüksel, O., Albayrak, S., Türk, M. and Sevimay, C. S. (2016). Dry matter yields and some quality features of alfalfa (*Medicago sativa* L.) cultivars under two different locations of Turkey. Süleyman Demirel Üniversitesi Fen Bilimleri Enstitüsü Dergisi, 20:155-160.

(Received: 8 December 2021, accepted: 30 June 2022)